arXiv:1604.00210v2 [math.SP] 4 Oct 2016

Ballistic Transport in One-Dimensional Quasi-Periodic Continuous Schrödinger Equation

Zhiyan Zhao*
Laboratoire J.A. Dieudonné, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis(Parc Valrose)
06108 NICE Cedex 02, FRANCE
Email: zhiyan.zhao@unice.fr

Abstract

For the solution $q(t)$ to the one-dimensional continuous Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\partial_t q(x,t) = -\partial_x^2 q(x,t) + V(\omega x)q(x,t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying a Diophantine condition, and V a real-analytic function on $\mathbb{T}^d$, we consider the growth rate of the diffusion norm $\|q(t)\|_D := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2|q(x,t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any non-zero initial condition $q(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|q(0)\|_D < \infty$. We prove that $\|q(t)\|_D$ grows *linearly* with t if V is sufficiently small.

1 Introduction and main result

We consider the quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation in one space dimension:

$$\mathrm{i}\partial_t q(x,t) = -\partial_x^2 q(x,t) + V(\omega x)q(x,t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

with $q(x,t) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $V : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is analytic in a complex neighbourhood of $\mathbb{T}^d$ $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : |\Im z| < r \leq 1\}$, and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies the Diophantine condition, i.e., there exist $\gamma > 0$, $\tau > d-1$, such that

$$\inf_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{\langle k, \omega\rangle}{2} - j\pi \right| > \frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau}, \quad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

We would like to observe the growth rate with t of the diffusion norm

$$\|q(t)\|_D := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2|q(x,t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

provided that $q(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\|q(0)\|_D < \infty$.

The diffusion norm $\|\cdot\|_D$ is a weighted L^2-norm. It is well known that the L^2-norm $(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x,t)|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is conserved for Eq.(1.1) since $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}$ is unitary. The initial condition

*This work is supported by ANR grant "ANR-14-CE34-0002-01" for the project "Dynamics and CR geometry".

$\|q(0)\|_D < \infty$ indicates the concentration on some range at the initial moment, and the diffusion norm $\|q(t)\|_D$ measures the propagation into the range where $|x| \gg 1$.

With the well-localized initial condition $\|q(0)\|_D < \infty$, we have that $\|q(t)\|_D < \infty$ for any finite t. More precisely, for the bounded potential V as in (1.1), we have the general ballistic upper bound, i.e., there exists a numerical constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\|q(t)\|_D \leq \|q(0)\|_D + c(\|q(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|q(0)\|_D)t. \tag{1.2}$$

(See Theorem 2.1 of [19]). This is also related to the Lieb-Robinson bound[16].

Since we are considering the linear equation (1.1), the behaviour of its solution is determined by the spectral property of the linear Schrödinger operator

$$\begin{aligned} H: \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) &\to L^2(\mathbb{R}) \\ q(x) &\mapsto -q''(x) + V(\omega x)q(x). \end{aligned}$$

It has been shown in [8] that the spectrum of H is purely absolutely continuous if V is sufficiently small. Inspired by RAGE Theorem[3], it is natural to get the propagation which is related to the growth of $\|q(t)\|_D$ in this case.

More rigorously, one could expect "ballistic motion" for Eq.(1.1) if the spectrum of the corresponding linear operator has the absolutely continuous component. Normally, it is interpreted as the linear growth with time of the diffusion norm. A time-averaged statement by Guarneri-Combes-Last theorem[15] shows that, in the presence of absolutely continuous spectrum, we have

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \|q(t)\|_D \, dt \geq CT$$

for some positive constant C. A recent work by Karpeshina-Lee-Shterenberg-Stolz[14] shows the existence of ballistic transport for the Schrödinger equation with limit-periodic or quasi-periodic potential in two space dimension, under certain regularity assumptions on the potential which have been used in prior work to establish the existence of an absolutely continuous component and other spectral properties. Related to the lower bound of the Cesaro means of the diffusion norm for the solution to the continuous Schrödinger equation, we can also refer to [1, 5].

In this paper, we try to go beyond the time-averaged version of ballistic transport in Guarneri-Combes-Last theorem. For the solution to Eq.(1.1), we are going to show the linear growth of the diffusion norm.

Theorem 1 *Consider the solution $q(t)$ of Eq.(1.1) with the non-zero initial condition $q(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|q(0)\|_D < \infty$. There exists an $\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(\gamma, \tau, r)$ such that if $|V|_r = \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon_*$, then there is a constant $0 < C < \infty$, depending on ε_0 and $q(0)$, such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{\|q(t)\|_D}{t} \geq \frac{C}{1+\varepsilon_0^\zeta}, \quad \limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{\|q(t)\|_D}{t} \leq \frac{C}{1-\varepsilon_0^\zeta}$$

for some numerical constant $0 < \zeta < 1$.

There are also some recent works on the ballistic motion for the discrete Schrödinger operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with purely absolutely continuous spectrum. This is described by the linear growth of the diffusion norm $\left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} n^2|q_n(t)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. See [4, 9, 13, 21, 22] for details.

Idea of proof. For the case where there is no potential, we can see the linear growth of diffusion norm simply by the Fourier transform(see Appendix A). In the presence of potential, our principal strategy is to relate the growth to the spectral transformation, instead of the Fourier transform. Roughly speaking, for $g(E,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x,t)\psi(E,x)dx$, with $\psi(E,x)$, $E \in \sigma(H)$, a generalized eigenvector of H, we have $\mathrm{i}\partial_t g(E,t) = Eg(E,t)$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x,t)\psi(E,x)dx = g(E,t) = e^{-\mathrm{i}Et}g(E,0).$$

So if $\psi(E,x)$ has nice differentiability and the derivative is well estimated, we can get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x,t)\partial_E\psi(E,x)dx = \partial_E g(E,t) \sim t.$$

If, with some suitable measure $d\varphi$ supported on $\sigma(H)$, we have

$$\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x,t)\partial_E\psi(E,x)dx\right\|_{L^2(d\varphi)} \sim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2|q(x,t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.3}$$

the linear growth of $\|q(t)\|_D$ is shown.

The above process can be realized by the "modified spectral transformation", by generalizing the method in [22]. The generalized eigenvectors, with the Bloch-wave structures, are constructed by the previous works of Eliasson[8] for the reducibility of Schrödinger cocycle. By adding some smoothing factors to the generalized eigenvectors(in a small part of the spectrum), the differentiability is improved.

The main difference from [22] is that the spectrum of linear operator is unbounded, so is the rotation number of the corresponding quasi-periodic cocycle. To overcome this disadvantage, we construct a measure supported on the spectrum, with a factor decaying with respect to the spectral parameter E.

In this way, the L^2-norm of the derivative(w.r.t. E) of the modified spectral transformation is close to the diffusion norm and (1.3) is obtained.

The remaining part of paper is organized as follows. Based on some basic notions on the Schrödinger operator and Schrödinger cocycle in Section 2, we introduce the previous works on the reducibility of Schrödinger cocycle and some further properties in Section 3. This is devoted to compute some special integrals on an unbounded interval in Section 4. Then we shall define the modified spectral transformation in Section 5, and finish the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Schrödinger operator and Schrödinger cocycle

In this subsection, we recall some basic notions and results for the quasi-periodic

Schrödinger operator $H : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(Hq)(x) = -q''(x) + V(\omega x)q(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with V and ω given as in (1.1). Since here the potential is bounded, H is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., the subspace of smooth functions with compact support, and we can interpret H as the unique extension to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. It is known that its spectrum $\sigma(H) \subset [0, \infty)$ is unbounded.

We also introduce the corresponding Schrödinger cocycle $(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ q' \end{pmatrix}' = (A_0(E) + F_0(\omega x)) \begin{pmatrix} q \\ q' \end{pmatrix},$$

with $A_0(E) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $F_0(\omega x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V(\omega x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$ is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem $Hq = Eq$.

2.1.1 Rotation number of Schrödinger cocycle

We follow the same presentation as in [11] to give the precise definition of the rotation number for the time-continuous Schrödinger cocycle $(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$.

Let $\phi_E : \mathbb{R} \to SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ be continuous with $\phi_E(0) = Id.$. Given any $X \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, let $\varphi_E(t, X) = \arg(\phi_E(t)X)$, i.e.,

$$\varphi_E(t_2, X) - \varphi_E(t_1, X) = \Im \left(\int_\Gamma \frac{1}{z} dz \right),$$

where Γ is the curve $\phi_E(t)X$, $t_1 \le t \le t_2$, in the complex plane. We fix $0 \le \arg(X) < 2\pi$ so that φ_E is a continuous single-valued function in $\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus ([0, \infty) \times \{0\}))$.

Suppose that $\varphi_E(t, X) - \varphi_E(t, Y) = 2n\pi$. This implies that $\phi_E(t)X = a\phi_E(t)Y$ for some $a > 0$, i.e., $\phi_E(t)(X - aY) = 0$. Hence $X = aY$. It follows that

$$|\varphi_E(t, X) - \varphi_E(t, Y)| < \pi, \quad \forall\, X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.1}$$

We say that the cocycle (ω, ϕ_E) has the rotation number $\rho(E)$ if

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\varphi_E(t, X)}{t} = \rho(E)$$

for some, and hence for all, $X \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. (2.1) implies that the convergence is uniform with respect to X. If (ω, ϕ) has the rotation number ρ, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\varphi_E(t, X) - \varphi_E(t_0, X)}{t} = \rho(E),$$

which shows that the rotation number does not depend on the values of $\phi_E(t)$ over a finite interval. These limits exist and define a continuous function of $E \in \mathbb{R}$. For more details, we can refer to [8, 12].

For the Schrödinger cocycle $(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$, the gap-labelling theorem relates the rotation number to the spectral property of the corresponding Schrödinger operator:

Theorem 2 *[12] Given any open interval $\mathcal{I}$ contained in $[\inf \sigma(H), \infty) \setminus \sigma(H)$, there is a unique $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\rho = \frac{\langle l, \omega \rangle}{2}$ on $\mathcal{I}$.*

2.1.2 Classical spectral transformation

Let $u(E,x)$ and $v(E,x)$ be solutions of the eigenvalue problem $Hq = Eq$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(E,0) & v(E,0) \\ \partial_x u(E,0) & \partial_x v(E,0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 3 (Chapter 9 of [2]) *There exists a non-decreasing Hermitian matrix $\mu = (\mu_{jk})_{j,k=1,2}$ whose elements are of bounded variation on every bounded interval on $\mathbb{R}$, such that for any $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, with $(g_1(E), g_2(E)) := (\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)u(E,x)dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)v(E,x)dx)$, we have Parseval's equality*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} g_j(E)\bar{g}_k(E) d\mu_{jk}(E).$$

Given any matrix of measures on $\mathbb{R}$ $d\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} d\varphi_{11} & d\varphi_{12} \\ d\varphi_{21} & d\varphi_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, let $\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)$ be the space of vectors $G = (g_j)_{j=1,2}$, with g_j functions of $E \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|G\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} := \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_j \, \bar{g}_k \, d\varphi_{jk} < \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

In view of Theorem 3, the map $q \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)u(E,x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)v(E,x)dx \end{pmatrix}$ defines a unitary transformation between $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}^2(d\mu)$. We call it as the **classical spectral transformation**.

The matrix of measures $(d\mu_{jk})_{j,k=1,2}$ is constructed via $m-$functions. It is Hermitian-positive, and therefore each $d\mu_{jk}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure $d\mu_{11} + d\mu_{22}$. This measure determines the spectral type of the operator. In particular, if the spectrum of H is purely absolutely continuous, we have, for any $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, the classical spectral transformation is supported on a subset of $\sigma(H)$ with positive Lebesgue measure.

As mentioned in the previous section, to see the growth of the diffusion norm, we need the differentiability with respect to E. But for the classical spectral transformation, there are some singularities with respect to E. More precisely, $u(x,E)$ and $v(x,E)$ are not well differentiated with respect to E somewhere in the spectrum $\sigma(H)$.

For example, if we consider the Laplacian $(Hq)(x) = -q''(x)$, which may be the simplest case, the linear growth of the diffusion norm of the solution to the equation $\mathrm{i}\partial_t q(x,t) = -\partial_x^2 q(x,t)$ can be verified by the Fourier transform(see Appendix A). On the other hand, we have $\sigma(H) = [0,\infty)$ and for $E \in \sigma(H)$ the rotation number is

$$\xi_0(E) = \rho_{(\omega,A_0)}(E) = \sqrt{E} \in [0,\infty).$$

It is easy to verify that the two generalized eigenvectors

$$u(E,x) = \cos(\sqrt{E}x), \quad v(E,x) = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{E}x)}{\sqrt{E}} \tag{2.4}$$

satisfy (2.2). We can see that the singularity comes when ξ_0 approaches 0.

The matrix of spectral measures is $d\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}}dE & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{E}dE \end{pmatrix}$ on $(0,\infty)$(see Example 1, Page 252 of [2]). Then for any $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)u(E,x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)v(E,x)dx \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_0^\infty \cos(\sqrt{E}x)\cos(\sqrt{E}y)dx\,dy\frac{dE}{\sqrt{E}}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(\sqrt{E}x)}{\sqrt{E}}\frac{\sin(\sqrt{E}y)}{\sqrt{E}}dx\,dy\sqrt{E}\,dE\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_0^\infty \cos(\sqrt{E}(x-y))dx\,dy\frac{dE}{\sqrt{E}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_0^\infty \cos(x-y)\rho\,dx\,dy\,\rho' dE \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|^2 dx, \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

since it is well known that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^M d\rho\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)\cos(x-y)\rho\,dy = \frac{1}{2}(f(x-0)+f(x+0))$$

for any a function of bounded variation in a neighbourhood of x.

2.2 Regularity in the sense of Whitney

Given a closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}$. We give a precise definition of $\mathcal{C}^1$ in the sense of Whitney, corresponding to a more general definition in [18].

Definition 2.1 *Given two functions F_0, $F_1 : S \to \mathbb{C}$(or $\mathbb{M}(2,\mathbb{C})$) with some $0 < M < \infty$, such that*

$$|F_0(x)|,\ |F_1(x)| \le M,\quad |F_0(x) - F_0(y) - F_1(y)(x-y)| \le M|x-y|,\quad \forall\, x, y \in S.$$

We say that F_0 is $\mathcal{C}^1$ **in the sense of Whitney** *on S, denoted by $F_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1_W(S)$, with the first order derivative F_1. The $\mathcal{C}^1_W(S)-$norm of F_0 is defined as*

$$|F_0|_{\mathcal{C}^1_W(S)} := \inf M.$$

Remark 2.1 *By Whitney's extension theorem[20], we can find an extension $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$(or $\mathbb{M}(2,\mathbb{C})$), which is $\mathcal{C}^1$ on $\mathbb{R}$ in the natural sense, such that $\tilde{F}|_S = F_0$ and $\tilde{F}'|_S = F_1$.*

2.3 Notations

1) With ω the Diophantine vector as above, we denote $\langle k\rangle := \frac{\langle k,\omega\rangle}{2}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

2) For any subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $\sharp(S)$ denote its cardinality of set, ∂S be the set of its endpoints, $|S|$ be its Lebesgue measure, and $\rho(S)$ be its image by $\rho = \rho_{(\omega,\, A_0+F_0)}$.

- Given any function F on $S \times (2\mathbb{T})^d$, possibly matrix-valued, let

$$|F|_{S,\,(2\mathbb{T})^d} := \sup_{E\in S}\sup_{\theta\in(2\mathbb{T})^d}|F(E,\theta)|.$$

  If F is $\mathcal{C}^1_W$ on S, then we define $|F|_{\mathcal{C}^1_W(S),\,(2\mathbb{T})^d} := \sup_{\theta\in(2\mathbb{T})^d}|F(\cdot,\theta)|_{\mathcal{C}^1_W(S)}$.

- If F is left and right continuous on E, then $F(E\pm) := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0+}F(E\pm\epsilon)$. On the interval $(E_1,E_2)\subset\mathbb{R}$, if F is left and right continuous on E_1 and E_2, then

$$F|_{[E_1,E_2]} = F|_{(E_1,E_2)} := F(E_2-) - F(E_1+),\ \ F|_{E_1^-}^{E_2^+} := F(E_2+) - F(E_1-).$$

3) For the quantities depending on the spectral parameter $E\in\mathbb{R}$, we do not always present this dependence explicitly and we simplify the notation "∂_E" into ∂, which denotes the derivative in the sense of Whitney on a certain subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

3 Reducibility of quasi-periodic Schrödinger cocycle

Based on the general notions of Schrödinger operator and Schrödinger cocycle given in the previous section, we present some further spectral properties, under the assumption that the potential function V is sufficiently small.

3.1 KAM scheme for the reducibility

In this subsection, we review the KAM theory of Eliasson[8] for the reducibility of the cocycle $(\omega,\, A_0+F_0)$, which improves the previous results of Dinaburg-Sinai[7] and Moser-Pöschel[17].

With $\varepsilon_0 = |V|_r$, $\sigma = \frac{1}{50}$, we define the sequences as in [8]:

$$\varepsilon_{j+1} = \varepsilon_j^{1+\sigma},\quad N_j = 4^{j+1}\sigma|\ln\varepsilon_j|,\quad j\geq 0.$$

Corresponding to the cocycle (ω, A_0+F_0), the result is formulated by the matrix equation

$$X'(x) = (A_0(E)+F_0(\omega x))X(x), \tag{3.1}$$

recalling that $A_0(E) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -E & 0\end{pmatrix}$ and $F_0(\omega x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ V(\omega x) & 0\end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 1 *There exists $\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(\gamma,\tau,r)$ such that if $|V|_r = \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_*$, then there is a full-measure subset $\Sigma = \cup_{j\geq 0}\Sigma_j$ of $\sigma(H)$ with $\{\Sigma_j\}_j$ mutually disjoint Borel sets, satisfying*

$$|\rho(\Sigma_{j+1})| \leq |\ln\varepsilon_0|^{(j+1)^3 d}\varepsilon_j^\sigma,\quad j\geq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

such that the following statements hold.

(1) The Schrödinger cocycle (ω, A_0+F_0) is **reducible** *on Σ. More precisely, there exist* $\begin{cases} B:\Sigma\to sl(2,\mathbb{R}) \ \text{ with eigenvalues } \ \pm \mathrm{i}\rho \\ Y:\Sigma\times(2\mathbb{T})^d\to GL(2,\mathbb{R}) \ \text{ analytic on } \ (2\mathbb{T})^d \end{cases}$ *such that $X(x) = Y(\omega x)e^{Bx}$ is a solution of (3.1).*

(2) For every $j \geq 0$, there is $k_j : \Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}^d$, such that

- $|k_l|_{\Sigma_j} = 0$ *if* $l \geq j$,
- $0 < |k_j| \leq N_j$ *on* Σ_{j+1} *and* $0 < |\rho - \sum_{l\geq 0}\langle k_l\rangle|_{\Sigma_{j+1}} < 2\varepsilon_j^\sigma$.

(3) B and Y are $\mathcal{C}^1_W$ on Σ_0, and, with $\xi := \rho - \sum_{j\geq 0}\langle k_j\rangle$, $s \geq 2$, $\xi^{s+2}B$ and $\xi^{s+2}Y$ are $\mathcal{C}^1_W$ on each Σ_{j+1}, $j \geq 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{cases} |Y - Id.|_{\mathcal{C}^1_W(\Sigma_0),(2\mathbb{T})^d}, & |B - A_0|_{\mathcal{C}^1_W(\Sigma_0)} \leq \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ |\xi^{s+2\nu}Y|_{\mathcal{C}^\nu_W(\Sigma_{j+1}),(2\mathbb{T})^d}, & |\xi^{s+2\nu}B|_{\mathcal{C}^\nu_W(\Sigma_{j+1})} \leq \varepsilon_j^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}, \quad \nu = 0, 1 \end{cases}. \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.1 *The original form Eliasson's theorem is: the cocycle $(\omega,\ A_0+F_0)$ is reducible if the rotation number ρ is Diophantine or rational with respect to $\frac{\omega}{2}$.*

- *"Rational w.r.t. $\frac{\omega}{2}$" means $\rho = \langle k\rangle$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. By the gap-labelling theorem, this case corresponds to the energies in $\mathbb{R}\setminus\sigma(H)$, where we have the reducibility to a hyperbolic matrix. Hence, the corresponding eigenvectors constructed via the cocycle are exponentially growing/decaying vectors.*
- *In contrast, "Diophantine w.r.t. $\frac{\omega}{2}$" means there exist $\gamma,\ \tau > 0$ such that $|\rho - \langle l\rangle| > \frac{\gamma}{|l|^\tau}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$. This corresponds to the energies in a full-measure subset of $\sigma(H)$. The corresponding eigenvectors are the "Bloch-waves"(see Subsectin 3.3).*

Remark 3.2 *Associated with the above Diophantine condition, if, in $\sigma(H)$, ρ is well separated from $\{\langle l\rangle\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}$, it is the idealest case for applying the KAM scheme.*

- *On Σ_0, there is no resonance for the rotation number ρ, so the standard KAM iteration is always applicable. Σ_0 is exactly the positive-measure subset of parameters for reducibility in the result of Dinaburg-Sinai[7].*
- *On Σ_{j+1}, $j \geq 0$, there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $0 < |k| \leq N_{j+1}$, which appears as $k = \sum_{l=0}^{j} k_l$, such that $0 < |\rho - \langle k\rangle|_{\Sigma_{j+1}} < 2\varepsilon_j^\sigma$. But the resonance stops exactly at the $j^{\text{th}}-$KAM step. We could also apply the standard KAM on these subsets from the $(j+1)^{\text{th}}-$step, because we could renormalize ρ into $\xi := \rho - \langle k\rangle$(the renormalization is done by several steps), which is well separated from $\{\langle l\rangle\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}$. Note that the "renormalized rotation number" ξ is close to 0 on Σ_{j+1} and it vanishes on the spectral gap where $\rho = \langle k\rangle$. So it can serve as a "smoothing factor" on Σ_{j+1}.*

Because of the difference between the procedures on Σ_0 and Σ_{j+1}, the transformation Z and the reduced matrix B possess different properties. In particular, on Σ_{j+1}, there are singularities like $\sim \xi^{-1}$(and $\sim \xi^{-3}$ after the derivation) for Z and B. Then, by multiplying ξ^s, $s \geq 4$ the regularity is well improved as in (3.3). Indeed, to get the $\mathcal{C}^1_W$ regularity, ξ^3 is enough, and the 4^{th} power makes the norms small. For better regularity, higher power of ξ is needed.

Given $M \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|M| > 1$, with $J = J(M) := \min\left\{j \in \mathbb{N} :\ |M| \leq \varepsilon_j^{-\sigma}\right\}$, an approximation for the reducibility of Schrödinger cocycle (ω, A_0+F_0) can be stated in the following way, which will be contributed to computing several integrals on $[\inf\sigma(H), \infty)$(see Section 4).

Proposition 2 *Let $|V|_r = \varepsilon_0 \le \varepsilon_*$ be as in Proposition 1. There is*

$$\Gamma^{(M)} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{J+1} \Gamma_j^{(M)} \subset [\inf \sigma(H), \infty),$$

with $\{\Gamma_j^{(M)}\}_{j=0}^{J+1}$ mutually disjoint and $\Sigma_j \subset \Gamma_j^{(M)}$, satisfying

$$\sharp\left([\inf \sigma(H), \infty) \setminus \Gamma^{(M)}\right) \le |\ln \varepsilon_0|^{(J+1)^3 d}, \quad \left|\rho\left(\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}\right)\right| \le |\ln \varepsilon_0|^{(j+1)^3 d} \varepsilon_j^{\sigma}, \tag{3.4}$$

and $\begin{cases} A^{(M)} : \Gamma^{(M)} \to sl(2,\mathbb{R}) \ \text{with two eigenvalues} \ \pm \mathrm{i}\alpha^{(M)} \\ Y^{(M)} : \Gamma^{(M)} \times (2\mathbb{T})^d \to GL(2,\mathbb{R}) \ \text{analytic on} \ (2\mathbb{T})^d \end{cases}$, *such that the following statements hold.*

(S1) $|\Re\alpha^{(M)} - \rho|_{\Gamma^{(M)}} \le \varepsilon_j^{\frac{1}{4}}$ *and for $0 \le j \le J$, there is $k_j^{(M)} : \Gamma^{(M)} \to \mathbb{Z}^d$, constant on each connected component of $\Gamma^{(M)}$, such that*

1. $|k_l^{(M)}|_{\Gamma_j^{(M)}} = 0$ *if $l \ge j$,*
2. $0 < |k_j^{(M)}| \le N_j$ *on $\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}$ and $|\Re\alpha^{(M)} - \sum_{l=0}^{J} \langle k_l^{(M)} \rangle|_{\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}} \le \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon_j^{\sigma}$, $0 \le j \le J$.*

(S2) *Let $\xi^{(M)} := \Re\alpha^{(M)} - \sum_{l=0}^{J} \langle k_l^{(M)} \rangle$.*

- *On $\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}$, $0 \le j \le J$, in each connected component, there is one and only one subinterval $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\xi^{(M)} = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, and outside $\mathcal{I}$, $\xi^{(M)} \ne 0$ with*

$$\frac{1}{3} < \partial\xi^{(M)} \le N_j^{4\tau} |\xi^{(M)}|^{-1}, \quad |\partial^2 \xi^{(M)}| \le N_j^{8\tau} |\xi^{(M)}|^{-3}. \tag{3.5}$$

- *On $\Gamma_0^{(M)}$, if $\xi^{(M)} \ne 0$, we have $\partial\xi^{(M)} = \frac{\partial \det A^{(M)}}{2\xi^{(M)}} > \frac{1}{3\xi^{(M)}}$.* [1]

(S3) $|Y^{(M)} - Y|_{\Sigma_0,\,(2\mathbb{T})^d}$, $|A^{(M)} - B|_{\Sigma_0} \le \varepsilon_j^{\frac{1}{4}}$, *and for $0 \le j \le J$,*

$$|\xi^{(M)}\, Y^{(M)} - \xi\, Y|_{\Sigma_{j+1},\,(2\mathbb{T})^d}, \quad |\xi^{(M)}\, A^{(M)} - \xi\, B|_{\Sigma_{j+1}} \le \varepsilon_j^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.6}$$

For $\nu = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\begin{cases} |\partial^\nu (Y^{(M)} - Id.)|_{\Gamma_0^{(M)},\,(2\mathbb{T})^d},\ |\partial^\nu (A^{(M)} - A_0)|_{\Gamma_0^{(M)}} \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ |\partial^\nu Y^{(M)}|_{(2\mathbb{T})^d},\ |\partial^\nu A^{(M)}| \le \dfrac{\varepsilon_j^{-\frac{\sigma}{5}}}{|\xi^{(M)}|^{1+2\nu}} \ \text{on} \ \Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)} \ \text{if} \ \xi^{(M)} \ne 0 \end{cases}. \tag{3.7}$$

(S4) $\{E \in \partial\Gamma^{(M)} : M\rho(E) \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}\} \subset \partial\Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$. *For any connected component (E_*, E_{**}) of $\Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$, we have*

$$\left|\rho|_{(E_*, E_{**})}\right| \le 2\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})}, \quad \varepsilon_J^{3\sigma(1+\sigma)} \le E_{**} - E_* \le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{3})}. \tag{3.8}$$

[1] Indeed, the only possibility that $\xi^{(M)} = 0$ on Γ_0 is in the interval containing $\inf \sigma(H)$.

Moreover, $k_j^{(M)}(E_*^-) = k_j^{(M)}(E_{**}^+)$, $0 \le j \le J$, *and there is* $0 \le j_* < J$ *such that* E_*, $E_{**} \in \partial\Gamma_{j_*}^{(M)}$, *with*

$$\begin{cases} \left|(Y^{(M)} - Id.)\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|_{(2\mathbb{T})^d}, & \left|(A^{(M)} - A_0)\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2}(E_{**} - E_*), \quad j_* = 0 \\ \left|(\xi^{(M)})^4\, Y^{(M)}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|_{(2\mathbb{T})^d}, & \left|(\xi^{(M)})^4\, A^{(M)}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_{j_*-1}^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}}{2}(E_{**} - E_*), \quad j_* \ge 1 \end{cases} . \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.3 *The mutually disjoint subsets* $\{\Gamma_j^{(M)}\}_{0\le j\le J+1}$ *given in Proposition 2 cover* $[\inf\sigma(H), \infty)$ *up to finite points(obviously, they are unions of finite intervals contained in* $[\inf\sigma(H), \infty)$*). They divide the energies according to the extent of resonances. As the iteration continues until the limit state, we can get the sequence of mutually disjointed subset* $\{\Sigma_j\}_{j\ge 0}$ *after excluding every gap in the spectrum.*

Remark 3.4 $Z^{(M)}$ *and* $A^{(M)}$ *in Proposition 2 are constructed by KAM iteration at the* $J^{\text{th}}-$*step.* $A^{(M)}$ *has two eigenvalues* $\alpha^{(M)}$*, with* $\Re\alpha^{(M)}$ *the approximation of the rotation number. They are not uniquely determined, and in particular, as shown in* **(S4)***, for any given* $M \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $|M| > 1$*, we can choose delicately the endpoints of the "resonance intervals" at the initial several steps, such that* $M\rho \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$ *on these endpoints(since* $\varepsilon_J^\sigma < \frac{1}{|M|} \le \varepsilon_{J-1}^\sigma$*, if* $J > 1$*, the endpoints are adjustable within this range when* $j < J$*). We can refer to the proof of Proposition 1 in [22].*

Modifications of proof for Proposition 1 and 2: The proofs are similar to that of Proposition 1 and 2 in [22], since the original idea of the reducibility in [10] is similar to that of [8]. There is a detailed proof for Proposition 1 and 2 of [22](see Section 3.1 and Appendix A.1, A.2 of [22]), so we do not present it here, just give the modifications because of the difference between the continuous and the discrete Schrödinger operators.

- For the continuous Schrödinger operator, the rotation number ρ of the corresponding cocycle is unbounded, with the renormalized rotation number $\xi = \rho - \sum_{j\ge 0}\langle k_j\rangle$ close to 0 in a small part of the spectrum. In this small subset, the singularity of the conjugation Y and the constant matrix B becomes "$\sim \xi^{-1}$"(see (3.3) and (3.7)) instead of $\sim \sin^{-1}\xi$(see (3.1) and (3.24) in [22]).

- Because of the unboundedness of ρ, the transversality of the rotation number in the spectrum becomes weaker($\partial\rho > \frac{1}{2\rho}$ instead of $\partial\rho > \frac{1}{2\sin\rho} \ge \frac{1}{2}$). It is similar for the approximated rotation number on the large subset of $[\inf\sigma(H), \infty)$, i.e., $\Gamma_0^{(M)}$, as shown in **(S2)** of Proposition 2. Note that for the last connected component $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma_0^{(M)}$, we have $E_{**} = \infty$.

- In Proposition 2, we focus on the KAM iteration until the $(J+1)^{\text{th}}-$step. So the connected components $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$ are only related to the energies where the rotation number is close to $\langle k\rangle$, $|k| \le N_J$. Hence $\partial\rho > \frac{c}{N_J}$ on $\sigma(H)\cap\Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$ with some constant $c > 0$ depending on ω. Combining with the $\frac{1}{2}-$Hölder continuity of ρ and the estimate of the spectral gaps(both of which will be given in the next subsection), we can still estimate the Lebesgue measure for each connected component of $\Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$ as in (3.8).

3.2 Further properties

As a result of the reducibility, we have

Theorem 4 *[8] With $|V|_r = \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_*$ as in Proposition 1, the spectrum of H is purely absolutely continuous.*

For the rotation number $\rho = \rho_{(\omega,\, A_0+F_0)}$, we also have the following further results, which come with the analysis on the reducibility of Schrödinger cocycle.

Theorem 5 *[11] With $|V|_r = \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_*$ as in Proposition 1, we have*

1. *$\rho = \rho_{(\omega,\, A_0+F_0)}$ is $\frac{1}{2}-$Hölder continuous, i.e., there is a numerical constant $c > 0$, such that for any given E_1, $E_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|\rho(E_1) - \rho(E_2)| < c|E_1 - E_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

2. *$\rho = \rho_{(\omega,\, A_0+F_0)}$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., given finite intervals $\{\mathcal{I}_j\}_j$ on $\mathbb{R}$, for any $\eta > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\eta) > 0$, such that if $\sum_j |\mathcal{I}_j| < \delta$ then $\sum_j \left|\rho|_{\mathcal{I}_j}\right| < \eta$.*

Theorem 6 *[6, 8] With $|V|_r = \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_*$ as in Proposition 1, we have*

$$(2\rho)^{-1} < \partial\rho < \infty \ \textit{for a.e.}\ E \in \sigma(H). \tag{3.10}$$

Theorem 7 *[11] With $|V|_r = \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_*$ as in Proposition 1, there are two positive numerical constants c', ι, and $\beta' = \beta'(\gamma, \tau, r)$ such that*

$$|\rho^{-1}(\langle k\rangle)| \leq c' e^{-\beta'|k|^{\iota}}, \quad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{3.11}$$

In view of (3.4), we can estimate the Lebesgue measure of the subset $\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}$, $j \geq 0$, as $\left|\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}\right| < \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$, by applying Theorem 6 and 7.

From now on, we always assume that $|V|_r = \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon_*$, and ε_0 is small enough such that it is compatible with every simple calculation in this paper. Moreover, we also assume that (3.10) is satisfied on the full-measure subset Σ of $\sigma(H)$ given in Proposition 1.

3.3 Construction of Bloch-waves

In general, the **Bloch-wave** of a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ means the generalized eigenvector ψ, of the form $\psi(x) = e^{\mathrm{i}\varrho x} h(\tilde{\alpha}x)$, with ϱ, $\tilde{\alpha}$ some real numbers, and h a periodic function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here ϱ is usually called the **Floquet exponent**.

Back to Proposition 1, we can construct Bloch-waves of Schrödinger operator H on Σ. More precisely, for the Schrödinger operator H, by the matrices $Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ given in Proposition 1, we can see $\tilde{\psi}(E,x) = e^{\mathrm{i}x\rho(E)}\tilde{f}(E,\omega x)$ satisfies $H\psi = E\psi$, with $\tilde{f} : \Sigma \times (2\mathbb{T})^d \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\tilde{f}(E,\cdot) := Y_{11}\,(E,\cdot)\, B_{12}(E) + Y_{12}\,(E,\cdot)\,(\mathrm{i}\rho(E) - B_{11}(E)).$$

Indeed, by noting that $\begin{pmatrix} B_{12} \\ \mathrm{i}\rho - B_{11} \end{pmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of B corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mathrm{i}\rho$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi} \\ \tilde{\psi}' \end{pmatrix} = e^{\mathrm{i}x\rho}\, Y(\omega x) \begin{pmatrix} B_{12} \\ \mathrm{i}\rho - B_{11} \end{pmatrix} = Y(\omega x) e^{Bx} \begin{pmatrix} B_{12} \\ \mathrm{i}\rho - B_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, we can also get the Bloch-wave

$$\psi(x) = e^{\mathrm{i}x\rho} f(\omega x) \ \text{ with } \ f = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}, & E \in \Sigma_0 \\ \xi^8 \tilde{f}, & E \in \Sigma_{j+1},\ j \geq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Remark 3.5 *The Bloch-wave depends on the energy $E \in \Sigma$. Recalling (3.3), we know that the Bloch-wave $\tilde{\psi}$ has nice estimates on Σ_0, In contrast, it has some singularities "$\sim \xi^{-1}$" on Σ_{j+1}, $j \geq 0$, whose union forms a small part of the spectrum. So we add a smoothing factor ξ^8, just on this small part to cover the singularities and get better estimates.*

Based on the Bloch-wave ψ, we can introduce the ingredients of the modified spectral transformation for the operator H(see Section 5). Let

$$\mathcal{K}(x) := \Im(\psi(x)), \ \ \mathcal{J}(x) := \Re(\psi(x)) \quad \text{for } E \in \Sigma,$$

and $\mathcal{K}(x)|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\Sigma} = \mathcal{J}(x)|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\Sigma} = 0$. By a direct calculation, we find

$$\psi(x) = e^{\mathrm{i}x\rho} f(\omega x) = \beta_0(x) e^{\mathrm{i}x\rho} + \mathrm{i}\beta_1(x)\rho e^{\mathrm{i}x\rho},$$

where, for $l = 0, 1$, $\beta_l : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, real-analytic on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{C}^1_W$ on each Σ_j, $j \geq 0$, is given by $\beta_l = \begin{cases} \tilde{\beta}_l, & E \in \Sigma_0 \\ \xi^8 \tilde{\beta}_l, & E \in \Sigma_{j+1},\ \ j \geq 0 \end{cases}$, with

$$\tilde{\beta}_0(x) := Y_{11}(\omega x) B_{12} - Y_{12}(\omega x) B_{11}, \quad \tilde{\beta}_1(x) := Y_{12}(\omega x).$$

So for $E \in \Sigma$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}(x) = \beta_0(x)\sin(x\rho) + \beta_1(x)\rho\cos(x\rho), \quad \mathcal{J}(x) = \beta_0(x)\cos(x\rho) - \beta_1(x)\rho\sin(x\rho).$$

According to (3.3) and the fact that $|\xi| \leq 2\varepsilon_j^\sigma$ on Σ_{j+1}, $j \geq 0$, it is obvious that

$$|\beta_l - \delta_{l,0}|_{\mathcal{C}^1_W(\Sigma_0),\,\mathbb{R}} \leq \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |\beta_l|_{\mathcal{C}^1_W(\Sigma_{j+1}),\,\mathbb{R}} \leq \varepsilon_j^\sigma, \ \ j \geq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

With Σ_j, $0 \leq j \leq J+1$, Y, B, ξ replaced by $\Gamma_j^{(M)}$, $Y^{(M)}$, $A^{(M)}$, $\xi^{(M)}$ given in Proposition 2 respectively, we get the piecewise $\mathcal{C}^2$ coefficients $\beta_l^{(M)} : \Gamma^{(M)} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and

Lemma 3.1 *For $l = 0, 1$,*

$$\begin{cases} |\partial^\nu(\beta_l^{(M)} - \delta_{l,0})|_{\Gamma_0^{(M)},\,\mathbb{R}} \leq \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}}, \\ |\partial^\nu \beta_l^{(M)}|_{\mathbb{R}} \leq \varepsilon_j^{\frac{\sigma}{5}} |\xi^{(M)}|^{5-2\nu} \ \ \text{on} \ \ \Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}, \ \ 0 \leq j \leq J \end{cases}, \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2,$$

and for each connected component $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$, $\left|\beta_l^{(M)}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|_{\mathbb{R}} \leq \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{4})}$.

Proof: We only prove for $\beta_0^{(M)}$, with that of $\beta_1^{(M)}$ similar.
On $\Gamma_0^{(M)}$, $\beta_0^{(M)} = \tilde{\beta}_0^{(M)}$ with

$$\tilde{\beta}_0^{(M)} = Y_{11}^{(M)}(\omega x)\, A_{12}^{(M)} - Y_{12}^{(M)}(\omega x)\, A_{11}^{(M)}. \tag{3.13}$$

Then, in view of (3.7), $|\partial^\nu(\beta_0^{(M)} - 1)|_{\Gamma_0^{(M)},\mathbb{R}} \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}}$ is evident.

On $\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}$, $0 \le j \le J$, $\beta_0^{(M)} = (\xi^{(M)})^8 \tilde{\beta}_0^{(M)}$. In each connected component of $\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}$, as mentioned in **(S2)**, there is a subinterval $\mathcal{I}$ where $\xi^{(M)} = 0$. So $\beta_0^{(M)} = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. Outside $\mathcal{I}$, $\xi^{(M)} \neq 0$ then by (3.5), (3.7) and (3.13), we have, for $\nu = 0, 1, 2$,

$$|\partial^\nu \tilde{\beta}_0^{(M)}|_{\mathbb{R}} \le \varepsilon_j^{-\frac{2\sigma}{5}} |\xi^{(M)}|^{-(2+2\nu)}, \quad |\partial^\nu (\xi^{(M)})^8| \le \varepsilon_j^{\frac{4}{5}\sigma} |\xi^{(M)}|^{7-2\nu}.$$

Hence, combining the estimates above, $|\partial^\nu \beta_0^{(M)}|_{\mathbb{R}} \le \varepsilon_j^{\frac{\sigma}{5}} |\sin \xi^{(M)}|^{5-2\nu}$ on $\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}$.

For the connected component $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$, according to **(S3)**, there is $0 \le j_* \le J$, such that $E_*^-, E_{**}^+ \in \partial\Gamma_{j_*}^{(M)}$. By (3.7) and (3.9),

- if $j_* = 0$, $\left|\beta_0^{(M)}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|_{\mathbb{R}} = \left|\tilde{\beta}_0^{(M)}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|_{\mathbb{R}} \le 10\,(E_{**} - E_*) \le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{4})}$;
- if $j_* \ge 1$, then for $\beta_0^{(M)} = (\xi^{(M)})^8 \tilde{\beta}_0^{(M)}$, $\left|(\xi^{(M)})^8 \tilde{\beta}_0^{(M)}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|_{\mathbb{R}}$ is bounded by terms like

$$4\left|(\xi^{(M)})^4 \cdot Y^{(M)}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot \left|(\xi^{(M)})^4 \cdot A^{(M)}\Big|_{\Gamma_{j_*}^{(M)},\mathbb{R}} \le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{4})}.$$

■

Moreover, by (3.6), it is obvious that

$$|\beta_l - \beta_l^{(M)}|_{\Sigma_j,\mathbb{R}} \le 10\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad 0 \le j \le J+1. \tag{3.14}$$

4 Some integrals on $[\inf\sigma(H), \infty)$

In Proposition 2, we have divided the interval $[\inf\sigma(H), \infty)$ into $J(M)+2$ parts for some given $M \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|M| > 1$, up to a subset of finite points. With this division, we can estimate the following integrals, which will be applied in analyzing the modified spectral transformation in the next section.

For $l = 0, 1$, let $h_l = h_l(x, y, M) := \beta_l^{(M)}(x)\beta_l^{(M)}(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3 *For $l = 0, 1$, we have*

$$\left|\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} (h_l - \delta_{l,0})\,\rho^{2l}\,\cos(M\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{15}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}},$$

$$\left|\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{(h_l - \delta_{l,0})\,\rho^{2l}\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,\partial\rho\,dE\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{15}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}},$$

and for $k = 2l,\ 2l+2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} h_l\, \rho^k\, \cos(M\rho)\, \partial\rho\, dE \right| &\le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{15}} + 2\varepsilon_0^{(2l-\frac{k}{4})\sigma}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}, \\
\left| \int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{h_l\, \rho^k \cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\, \partial\rho\, dE \right| &\le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{15}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1 *The initial attempt was to bound the above integral by $\sim \frac{1}{M^2}$, which guarantees the integrability with respect to M over $\{M \in \mathbb{R} : |M| > 1\}$. However, we could not get this by a simple application of integration by parts since here h is just piecewise $\mathcal{C}^2$ on $\Gamma^{(M)}$ and it is not continuous at the edge points. For this reason, we expect the bound $\sim \frac{1}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}$ instead. It also guarantees the convergence of the integral on an unbounded interval, which will be applied in the next section.*

Proof of Proposition 3: We consider the above integrals in a more general sense, i.e., to estimate the integrals

$$\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f\cdot\rho^k \cos(M\rho)\, \partial\rho\, dE, \quad \int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{f\cdot\rho^k\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\, \partial\rho\, dE,$$

with f $\mathcal{C}^2$ on each connected component $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)}$, satisfying

$$|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}} \le 2; \quad |\partial^\nu f|_{\Gamma_0^{(M)}} \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{6}}, \ \ |\partial^\nu f|_{\Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}} \le \varepsilon_j^{\frac{\sigma}{3}} |\xi^{(M)}|^{5-2\nu}, \ \ \nu = 1,2; \quad \left| f|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+} \right| \le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{6})}. \tag{4.1}$$

The above integrals are sums of integrals over the connected component $(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)}$. By integration by parts, we have, for $k = 0, 2, 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f\cdot\rho^k\cos(M\rho)\,\partial\rho\, dE \\
= \ & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f\cdot\rho^k \sin M\rho\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})} - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial f\cdot\rho^k \sin M\rho\, dE \\
& - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} f\cdot\partial_\rho(\rho^k)\sin M\rho\,\partial\rho\, dE \\
=: \ & \mathcal{I}_{k,1} + \mathcal{I}_{k,2} + \mathcal{I}_{k,3},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{f\cdot\rho^k\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,\partial\rho\, dE \\
= \ & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{f\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})} - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \frac{\partial f\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\, dE,
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\substack{(E_*,\,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} f\cdot\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\sin M\rho\,\partial\rho\,dE\\
=:\;& \mathcal{J}_{k,1}+\mathcal{J}_{k,2}+\mathcal{J}_{k,3}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{I}_{0,3}=0$. In this proof, "$\sum_{(E_*,\,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}}$" denotes the sum over all the connected components of $\Gamma^{(M)}$ and it is similar for "$\sum_{(E_*,\,E_{**})\subset\Gamma_j^{(M)}}$", $0\le j\le J+1$. Note that for the last connected component $(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma_0^{(M)}$, we have $E_{**}=\infty$.

The proof of proposition comes with the following three lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 *For $k=0,2,4$, $|\mathcal{I}_{k,1}|\le\frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{12}}+\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{5}}\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}$, $|\mathcal{J}_{k,1}|\le\frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{14}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}$.*

Proof: Since **(S4)** of Proposition 2 implies that $\sin M\rho(E)=0$ if $E\in\partial\Gamma^{(M)}\setminus\partial\Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$, we can see

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\\
=\;&\sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\left(f\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}-f\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right), \qquad (4.2)\\
&\sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\frac{f\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\\
=\;&\sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\left(\frac{f\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}-\frac{f\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right). \qquad (4.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

By **(S4)** we know $\left|\rho|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right|\le 2\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})}$, so, for $k=0,2,4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\rho^k\sin M\rho\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right| &\le 4|M|\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})}\ \text{for}\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}},\\
\left|\frac{\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right| &\le 4|M|\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})}\ \text{for}\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
\partial_\rho\left(\rho^k\sin M\rho\right)=\begin{cases} M\cos(M\rho), & k=0\\ k\rho^{k-1}\sin M\rho+\rho^k M\cos(M\rho), & k=2,4\end{cases},
$$

$$\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\right) \;=\; \begin{cases} \dfrac{M\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}-\dfrac{8\rho^7\sin M\rho}{(1+\rho^8)^2}, & k=0 \\ \dfrac{k\rho^{k-1}\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}+\dfrac{\rho^k M\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}-\dfrac{8\rho^{k+7}\sin M\rho}{(1+\rho^8)^2}, & k=2,4 \end{cases},$$

which implies $\left|\partial_\rho\left(\rho^k\sin M\rho\right)\right| \le 2|M|\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}$ for $\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}$, and $\left|\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\right)\right| \le 2|M|\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}$ for $\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}$. Hence, for $k=0,2,4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|f\cdot\rho^k\,\sin M\rho\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right| &\le \left|f\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|\left|\rho^k(E_{**})\,\sin M\rho(E_{**})\right| + |f(E_*^-)|\left|\rho^k\,\sin M\rho\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right| \\ &\le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{6})}\cdot\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}} + |f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}\cdot 2|M|\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})} \\ &\le \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{10}}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{15}}} + \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{4}}\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{5}}} \text{ for } \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{f\cdot\rho^k\,\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right| &\le \left|f\Big|_{E_*^-}^{E_{**}^+}\right|\left|\frac{\rho^k\,\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}(E_{**})\right| + |f(E_*^-)|\left|\frac{\rho^k\,\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right| \\ &\le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{6})}\cdot\varepsilon_0^{\sigma} + |f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}\cdot 2|M|\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})} \\ &\le \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{10}}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{15}}} + \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{4}}\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{5}}} \text{ for } \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and similarly, since $E_{**}-E_*\le\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{3})}$ for the connected component $(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma_{J+1}^{(M)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|f\cdot\rho^k\,\sin M\rho\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right| &\le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{3})}\cdot\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}} + |f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}\cdot 2|M|\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})} \\ &\le \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{10}}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{15}}} + \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{4}}\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{5}}} \text{ for } \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}, \\ \left|\frac{f\cdot\rho^k\,\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right| &\le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{3})}\cdot\varepsilon_0^{\sigma} + |f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}\cdot 2|M|\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\sigma(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})} \\ &\le \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{10}}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{15}}} + \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{4}}\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{5}}} \text{ for } \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that there are at most $|\ln\varepsilon_0|^{(J+1)^3d}$ connected components in $[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} h\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right| &\le \frac{|\ln\varepsilon_0|^{(J+1)^3d}}{|M|}\cdot\frac{2\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{10}}+2\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{4}}\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{15}}} \\ &\le \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{12}}+\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{5}}\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\frac{h\cdot\rho^k\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\Big|_{(E_*,E_{**})}\right|\le\frac{|\ln\varepsilon_0|^{(J+1)^3d}}{|M|}\cdot\frac{2\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{10}}+2\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{4}}\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}}{|M|^{\frac{\sigma}{15}}}\le\frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma^2}{14}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}.$$

■

Lemma 4.2 *$|\mathcal{I}_{k,2}|$, $|\mathcal{J}_{k,2}|\le\frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{10\sigma}{9}}}{4|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ for $k=0,2,4$.*

Proof: Let $\rho^{(M)}:=\Re\alpha^{(M)}$. By **(S1)** in Proposition 2, we have $|\rho-\rho^{(M)}|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}<\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$. On each connected component $(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}$, to estimate $\mathcal{I}_{k,2}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{k,2}$, we can consider the approximated integral $\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^k\sin M\rho^{(M)}\,dE$ and $\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^k\sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\,dE$ instead. Indeed, by noting that $|M|\le\varepsilon_J^{-\sigma}$, it is easy to verify that, for $k=0,2,4$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|(\rho^{(M)})^k\,\sin M\rho^{(M)}-\rho^k\,\sin M\rho\right| &\le \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\ \text{ for } \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}},\\ \left|\frac{(\rho^{(M)})^k\,\sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}-\frac{\rho^k\,\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\right| &\le \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}}{1+\rho^8}\ \text{ for } \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the transversality of ρ in (3.10), the gap estimate in (3.11), and the fact that $|\partial f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}\le\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}$ in view of (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}|\partial f|\left|(\rho^{(M)})^k\,\sin M\rho^{(M)}-\rho^k\,\sin M\rho\right|dE\\ \le\ &\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\int_{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\atop\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}dE\\ \le\ &2\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\int_0^{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}\rho\,d\rho+c'\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}e^{-\beta'|k|^\iota}\\ \le\ &\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\ \text{ for } \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}},\\ &\sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}|\partial f|\left|\frac{(\rho^{(M)})^k\,\sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}-\frac{\rho^k\,\sin M\rho}{1+\rho^8}\right|dE\\ \le\ &\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\int_{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\atop\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}\frac{1}{1+\rho^8}\,dE\\ \le\ &2\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^{\infty}\frac{\rho}{1+\rho^8}\,d\rho+c'\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}e^{-\beta'|k|^\iota}\\ \le\ &\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}}\ \text{ for } \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that we consider the above integrals over $[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)$ in two parts.

- In $\sigma(H)$, we have the transversality (3.10) of ρ, so this is transformed into an integral with respect to ρ.
- In the gaps of spectrum, we have $\partial\rho = 0$, so the function of integral is a constant. This part is controlled by the gap estimate in (3.11).

Now we consider the approximated integrals $\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}\, dE$ on the connected component $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)}$ where $\rho \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}$, and $\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \dfrac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\, dE$ on the connected component where $\rho > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}$,

- On $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma_0^{(M)}$, we have $\rho^{(M)} = \xi^{(M)}$. So, to compute the integrals $\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}\, dE$ and $\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \dfrac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\, dE$, we assume $\xi^{(M)} \neq 0$. Hence, by **(S2)**, we have $\partial\rho^{(M)} = \frac{\partial \det A^{(M)}}{2\rho^{(M)}} > \frac{1}{3\rho^{(M)}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}\, dE &= 2\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial \det A^{(M)}} \sin M\rho^{(M)}\, \partial\rho^{(M)} dE \\
&= -\frac{2}{M}\left[\left.\frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+1} \cos M\rho^{(M)}}{\partial \det A^{(M)}}\right|_{(E_*, E_{**})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial\left(\frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial \det A^{(M)}}\right) \cos M\rho^{(M)} dE \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\, dE \\
=\ & 2\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+1} \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{\partial \det A^{(M)} \cdot (1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)} \partial\rho^{(M)} dE \\
=\ & -\frac{2}{M} \left.\frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+1} \cos M\rho^{(M)}}{\partial \det A^{(M)} \cdot (1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)}\right|_{(E_*, E_{**})} \\
& + \frac{2}{M}\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial\left(\frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial \det A^{(M)} \cdot (1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)}\right) \cos M\rho^{(M)} dE.
\end{aligned}$$

(3.7) implies that $|\partial \det A^{(M)} - 1|$, $|\partial^2 \det A^{(M)}| \le 2\varepsilon_0^{\frac{2}{3}}$, then for $\rho^{(M)} \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}} + \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $\left|\dfrac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial \det A^{(M)}}\right| \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{7}-\frac{5\sigma}{4}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\partial\left(\frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial \det A^{(M)}}\right)\right| &\le |\rho^{(M)}|^{k+1} \cdot \left[\frac{|\partial^2 f|}{\partial \det A^{(M)}} + \frac{|\partial f| \cdot |\partial^2 \det A^{(M)}|}{(\partial \det A^{(M)})^2}\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{|\partial f| \cdot (k+1)(\rho^{(M)})^k \cdot \partial\rho^{(M)}}{\partial \det A^{(M)}} \\
&\le 2\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{6}} \cdot (3(\rho^{(M)})^{k+2} + (k+1)(\rho^{(M)})^k) \partial\rho^{(M)} \\
&\le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{7}} \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^{k+2} \partial\rho^{(M)},
\end{aligned}$$

and for $\rho^{(M)} > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}} - \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $\left|\dfrac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial\det A^{(M)}\cdot(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)}\right| \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{7}+\sigma}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\partial\left(\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial\det A^{(M)}\cdot(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)}\right)\right| \\
\le\ & \frac{|\rho^{(M)}|^{k+1}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\cdot\left[\frac{|\partial^2 f|}{\partial\det A^{(M)}}+\frac{|\partial f|\cdot|\partial^2\det A^{(M)}|}{(\partial\det A^{(M)})^2}\right] \\
&+\frac{|\partial f|\cdot\partial\rho^{(M)}}{\partial\det A^{(M)}}\cdot\left[\frac{(k+1)(\rho^{(M)})^k}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}+\frac{8(\rho^{(M)})^{k+8}}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)^2}\right] \\
\le\ & \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{7}}\frac{(\rho^{(M)})^{14}\,\partial\rho^{(M)}}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma_0^{(M)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^k\sin M\rho^{(M)}\,dE\right| &\le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{8}}}{|M|}\ \text{ for } \rho^{(M)} \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}+\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}, \\
\left|\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^k\sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\,dE\right| &\le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{8}}}{|M|}\ \text{ for } \rho^{(M)} > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}-\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}},
\end{aligned}$$

by combining the above estimates, and noting that on the connected component (E_*, E_{**}) where $-\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}} \le \rho^{(M)} \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}+\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$,

$$\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}\left|\partial\left(\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial\det A^{(M)}}\right)\right|dE \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{7}}\int_{-\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}+\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}}(\rho^{(M)})^{k+2}\,d\rho^{(M)} \le \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{8}},$$

and on the connected component (E_*, E_{**}) where $\rho^{(M)} > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}} - \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}\left|\partial\left(\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^{k+1}}{\partial\det A^{(M)}(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)}\right)\right|dE &\le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{7}}\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}-\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\infty}\frac{(\rho^{(M)})^{14}\,\partial\rho^{(M)}}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)^2}\partial\rho^{(M)}dE \\
&\le \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{8}}.
\end{aligned}$$

- On $(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma_{j+1}^{(M)}$, recall that there is an interval $\mathcal{I} \subset (E_*,\, E_{**})$, such that $\xi^{(M)} = 0$. So (4.1) implies $\partial f = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. On $(E_*,\, E_{**})\backslash\mathcal{I}$, noting that $\partial\rho^{(M)} = \partial\xi^{(M)}$ and in view of (3.5), we have for $-\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}} \le \rho^{(M)} \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}+\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^k}{\partial\rho^{(M)}}\right|,\ \left|\partial\left(\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^k}{\partial\rho^{(M)}}\right)\right| \le \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}},\quad k=0,2,4,$$

and for $\rho^{(M)} > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}} - \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^k}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)\partial\rho^{(M)}}\right|,\ \left|\partial\left(\frac{\partial f\cdot(\rho^{(M)})^k}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)\partial\rho^{(M)}}\right)\right| \le \varepsilon_0^{2\sigma},\quad k=0,2,4.$$

Then, with $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ denoting the two connected components of $(E_*,\, E_{**}) \setminus \mathcal{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(E_*,\, E_{**})\setminus\mathcal{I}} \partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}\, dE \\
= \;& \int_{(E_*,\, E_{**})\setminus\mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{\partial\rho^{(M)}} \partial\rho^{(M)}\, dE \\
= \;& \frac{-1}{M}\, \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k}{\partial\rho^{(M)}}\, \cos M\rho^{(M)}\bigg|_{\mathcal{P}_1} + \frac{-1}{M}\, \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k}{\partial\rho^{(M)}}\, \cos M\rho^{(M)}\bigg|_{\mathcal{P}_2} \\
& + \frac{1}{M} \int_{\mathcal{P}_1\cup\mathcal{P}_2} \partial\left(\frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k}{\partial\rho^{(M)}}\right) \cos M\rho^{(M)}\, dE,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(E_*,\, E_{**})\setminus\mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\, dE \\
= \;& \int_{(E_*,\, E_{**})\setminus\mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)\partial\rho^{(M)}} \sin M\rho^{(M)} \cdot \partial\rho^{(M)}\, dE \\
= \;& \frac{-1}{M}\, \frac{\partial h \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)\partial\rho^{(M)}}\, \cos M\rho^{(M)}\bigg|_{\mathcal{P}_1} + \frac{-1}{M}\, \frac{\partial h \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)\partial\rho^{(M)}}\, \cos M\rho^{(M)}\bigg|_{\mathcal{P}_2} \\
& + \frac{1}{M} \int_{\mathcal{P}_1\cup\mathcal{P}_2} \partial\left(\frac{\partial h \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k}{(1+(\rho^{(M)})^8)\partial\rho^{(M)}}\right) \cos M\rho^{(M)}\, dE.
\end{aligned}$$

By noting that every connected component of $\Gamma^{(M)}_{j+1}$, $j \geq 0$, is shorter than $\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$, we have that both integrals above can be bounded by $\frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}}}{2|M|}$, provided that $\rho^{(M)} \leq \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}} + \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\rho^{(M)} > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}} - \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$ respectively.

So, for each $(E_*, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)}$, we have

$$\left|\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}\, dE\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}}}{2|M|} + \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}}}{|M|} \;\text{ for } \rho \leq \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}},$$

$$\left|\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\, dE\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}}}{2|M|} + \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{5}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}}}{|M|} \;\text{ for } \rho > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}},$$

and then

$$\left|\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho\leq\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\, dE\right| \leq \frac{|\ln\varepsilon_0|^{(J+1)^3 d}\, \varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}}}{M^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{10\sigma}{9}}}{4|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\left|\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \frac{\partial f \cdot (\rho^{(M)})^k \sin M\rho^{(M)}}{1+(\rho^{(M)})^8}\, dE\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{10\sigma}{9}}}{4|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Note that in getting (4.6) and (4.7), we need to consider two cases about M:

(I) If $|M| \le \varepsilon_0^{-\sigma}$, which means $J(M) = 0$, then, by noting that $|M| > 1$, we get

$$|\ln \varepsilon_0|^{(J+1)^3 d} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}} \le \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{10\sigma}{9}} |M|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(II) If $|M| > \varepsilon_0^{-\sigma}$, which means $J(M) \ge 1$ and $|M| > \varepsilon_{J-1}^{-\sigma} = \varepsilon_0^{-\sigma(1+\sigma)^{J-1}}$, then

$$\frac{|\ln \varepsilon_0|^{(J+1)^3 d} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}}}{M^2} \le \frac{|\ln \varepsilon_0|^{(J+1)^3 d} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}} \cdot \varepsilon_{J-1}^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}}{|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{9\sigma}{8}} \varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma}{6}}}{4|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

■

Lemma 4.3 $|\mathcal{I}_{k,3}| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{(2k-1)\sigma}{8}} |f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}} + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}}{|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ *for* $k = 2, 4$, *and* $|\mathcal{J}_{k,3}| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}}{|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ *for* $k = 0, 2, 4$.

Proof: By the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} f \cdot \partial_\rho \left(\rho^k\right) \sin M\rho \, \partial\rho \, dE \\
= \; & \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f \cdot \partial_\rho \left(\rho^k\right) \cos(M\rho) \Big|_{(E_*,\, E_{**})} \qquad (4.8) \\
& -\frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial \left(f \cdot \partial_\rho \left(\rho^k\right)\right) \cos(M\rho) \, dE, \qquad (4.9)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} f \cdot \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right) \sin M\rho \, \partial\rho \, dE \\
= \; & \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f \cdot \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right) \cos(M\rho) \Big|_{(E_*,\, E_{**})} \qquad (4.10) \\
& -\frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\substack{(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)} \\ \rho > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \int_{E_*}^{E_{**}} \partial \left(f \cdot \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\right) \cos(M\rho) \, dE. \qquad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

In (4.8) and (4.10), for each connected component $(E_*,\, E_{**}) \subset \Gamma^{(M)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| f \cdot \partial_\rho \left(\rho^k\right) \cos(M\rho) \Big|_{(E_*,\, E_{**})} \right| \\
\le \; & k |f(E_{**}^-)| \left| \rho^{k-1} \cos(M\rho) \Big|_{(E_*,\, E_{**})} \right| + k \left| f|_{(E_*,\, E_{**})} \right| \left| \rho^{k-1}(E_*) \cos(M\rho)(E_*) \right| \\
\le \; & 16 |f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}} \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{(k-1)\sigma}{4}} \quad \text{for } k = 2, 4, \; \rho \le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}},
\end{aligned}$$

and since $\left|\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\right| < \varepsilon_0^{\frac{6\sigma}{5}}$ for $k=0,2,4,\ \rho > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|f\cdot\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\cos(M\rho)\Big|_{(E_*,\,E_{**})}\right| &\le |f(E_{**}^-)|\left|\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\cos(M\rho)\Big|_{(E_*,\,E_{**})}\right| \\ &\quad + \left|f|_{(E_*,\,E_{**})}\right|\left|\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)(E_*)\cos(M\rho)(E_*)\right| \\ &\le 16|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{6\sigma}{5}} \quad \text{for } k=0,2,4,\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, similar to (4.6) and (4.7), we get, for $k=2,4$,

$$\left|\frac{1}{M^2}\sum_{\substack{(E_*,\,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f\cdot\partial_\rho\left(\rho^k\right)\cos(M\rho)\Big|_{(E_*,\,E_{**})}\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{(2k-1)\sigma}{8}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{2|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \tag{4.12}$$

and for $k=0,2,4$,

$$\left|\frac{1}{M^2}\sum_{\substack{(E_*,\,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f\cdot\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\cos(M\rho)\Big|_{(E_*,\,E_{**})}\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}}{2|M|^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{4.13}$$

In (4.9) and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|-\frac{1}{M^2}\sum_{\substack{(E_*,\,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}\partial\left(f\cdot\partial_\rho\left(\rho^k\right)\right)\cos(M\rho)\,dE\right| \\ \le\ &\frac{1}{M^2}\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}|f|\left|\partial_\rho^2\left(\rho^k\right)\right|\partial\rho\,dE + \frac{1}{M^2}\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}|\partial f|\left|\partial_\rho\left(\rho^k\right)\right|\,dE,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|-\frac{1}{M^2}\sum_{\substack{(E_*,\,E_{**})\subset\Gamma^{(M)}\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\int_{E_*}^{E_{**}}\partial\left(f\cdot\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\right)\cos(M\rho)\,dE\right| \\ \le\ &\frac{1}{M^2}\int_{\inf\sigma(H)}^{\infty}|f|\left|\partial_\rho^2\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\right|\partial\rho\,dE + \frac{1}{M^2}\int_{\inf\sigma(H)}^{\infty}|\partial f|\left|\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\right|\,dE.\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\partial f|\le\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}$ in each connected component of $\Gamma^{(M)}$, we can see, for $k=2,4$,

$$\frac{1}{M^2}\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}|f|\left|\partial_\rho^2\left(\rho^k\right)\right|\partial\rho\,dE \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{(2k-1)\sigma}{8}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{4M^2},$$

$$\frac{1}{M^2}\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} |\partial f|\left|\partial_\rho\left(\rho^k\right)\right| dE \le \frac{c'\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{M^2}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-\beta'|l|^\iota} + \frac{2k\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{M^2}\int_0^{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}} \rho^k d\rho \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}}{4M^2},$$

and for $k=0,2,4$,

$$\frac{1}{M^2}\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} |f|\left|\partial_\rho^2\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\right| \partial\rho\, dE \le \frac{|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{M^2}\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \frac{300\rho^{18}}{(1+\rho^8)^3}\, d\rho \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{3\sigma}{2}}}{4M^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{M^2}\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} |\partial h|\left|\partial_\rho\left(\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\right)\right| dE &\le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{M^2}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d} c' e^{-\beta'|l|^\iota} + \frac{2\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{M^2}\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \frac{16\rho^{12}}{(1+\rho^8)^2} d\rho \\ &\le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{3\sigma}{2}}}{4M^2}.\end{aligned}$$

So (4.9) is bounded by $\frac{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{(2k-1)\sigma}{8}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}+\varepsilon_0^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}}{2M^2}$, and (4.11) is bounded by $\frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{3\sigma}{2}}}{2M^2}$.

Combing with (4.12) and (4.13), we finish the proof of Lemma 4.3. ∎

By combining Lemma 4.1 − 4.3, for any f which is $\mathcal{C}^2$ on each connected components of $\Gamma^{(M)}$ and satisfies (4.1), we get that

$$\left|\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} f\cdot\rho^k\cos(M\rho)\,\partial\rho\, dE\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{12}}+\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{k\sigma}{4}}|f|_{\Gamma^{(M)}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\left|\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{f\cdot\rho^k\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,\partial\rho\, dE\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{15}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}. \tag{4.15}$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, we have that, for $l=0,1$, for any x, $y\in\mathbb{R}$

$$|\beta_l^{(M)}(x)\beta_l^{(M)}(y)|_{\Gamma^{(M)}} \le 2\varepsilon_0^{2l\sigma}, \quad |\beta_l^{(M)}(x)\beta_l^{(M)}(y)-\delta_{l,0}|_{\Gamma^{(M)}} \le 2\varepsilon_0^{2l\sigma}.$$

We apply the inequalities (4.14) and (4.15) to $f=h_l=\beta_l^{(M)}(x)\beta_l^{(M)}(y)$ for $k=2l$, $2l+2$, and to $f=h_l-\delta_{l,0}=\beta_l^{(M)}(x)\beta_l^{(M)}(y)-\delta_{l,0}$ for $k=2l$, since the assumptions of f in (4.1) can be easily deduced from Lemma 3.1. Then Proposition 3 is shown. ∎

As a application of Proposition 3, we have

Lemma 4.4 *For $l=0,1$, for any $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$, $M\in\mathbb{R}$ with $|M|>1$, we have*

$$\left|\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \left(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)-\delta_{l,0}\right)\rho^{2l}\,\cos(M\rho)\,\partial\rho\, dE\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\left|\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{\left(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)-\delta_{l,0}\right)\rho^{2l}\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,\partial\rho\, dE\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}, \tag{4.17}$$

and for $k = 2l,\ 2l+2$,

$$\left| \int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\,\rho^k\,\cos(M\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE \right| \;\le\; \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}} + 2\varepsilon_0^{(2l-\frac{k}{4})\sigma}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\left| \int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\,\rho^k\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,\partial\rho\,dE \right| \;\le\; \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}. \tag{4.19}$$

Proof: In (4.18) and (4.19), we can consider the approximated integrals with respect to E with $\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)$ replaced by $\beta_l^{(M)}(x)\beta_l^{(M)}(y)$, i.e., for $k = 2l,\ 2l+2$,

$$\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \beta_l^{(M)}(x)\beta_l^{(M)}(y)\rho^k\cos(M\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE,$$

$$\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty) \\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}} \frac{\beta_l^{(M)}(x)\beta_l^{(M)}(y)\rho^k\cos(M\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,\partial\rho\,dE.$$

Indeed, $\partial\rho = 0$ outside $\sigma(H)$ with $|\sigma(H)\setminus\Sigma| = 0$, and, in view of (3.14), $|\beta_l - \beta_l^{(z)}| \le 10\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}$ on Σ_j, $0 \le j \le J+1$, with $J = J(M) = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} : |M| \le \varepsilon_j^{-\sigma}\}$. Combining with the fact that

$$|\cup_{j\ge J+1}\Sigma_{j+1}| \le \sum_{j\ge J+1} \ln\varepsilon_0|^{(j+1)^3 d}\varepsilon_j^{\sigma} \le \varepsilon_J^{\sigma+\frac{3\sigma^2}{4}},$$

we can see that the errors are respectively less than

$$20\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}\rho^k\,d\rho + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_J^{\sigma+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}}, \quad 20\varepsilon_J^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^{\infty}\frac{\rho^k}{1+\rho^8}\,d\rho + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_J^{\sigma+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}},$$

both of which are bounded by $\varepsilon_J^{\sigma+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \le \frac{\varepsilon_J^{\frac{\sigma}{4}}}{|M|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{4}}}$.

By applying Proposition 3 to the the approximated integrals, combining with the errors, we show the estimates for the integrals about $\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)$. Since it is similar for $\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y) - \delta_{l,0}$ in (4.16) and (4.17), we finish the proof. ∎

5 Modified spectral transformation

Let the matrix of measures $d\varphi$ be

$$\begin{aligned}
d\varphi|_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} &:= \frac{1}{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} (\partial\rho)^{-1}dE & 0 \\ 0 & (\partial\rho)^{-1}dE \end{pmatrix} \\
d\varphi|_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} &:= \frac{1}{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\partial\rho)^{-1}}{1+\rho^8}dE & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\partial\rho)^{-1}}{1+\rho^8}dE \end{pmatrix}, \\
d\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\Sigma} &:= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{L}^2-$space given in (2.3). Then $\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)$ means the space of vectors $G=(g_j)_{j=1,2}$, with g_j functions of $E\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\|G\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} &:= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}(|g_1|^2+|g_2|^2)\,(\partial\rho)^{-1}dE\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}(|g_1|^2+|g_2|^2)\,\frac{(\partial\rho)^{-1}}{1+\rho^8}dE<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

For the continuous Schrödinger operator H, we define the modified spectral transformation $\mathcal{S}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\mathcal{S}q=\begin{pmatrix}\int_{\mathbb{R}}q(x)\mathcal{K}(x)dx\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}}q(x)\mathcal{J}(x)dx\end{pmatrix},\quad\forall\,q\in L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

recalling that, on Σ,

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{K}(x)\\ \mathcal{J}(x)\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\beta_0(x)\sin(x\rho)\\ \beta_0(x)\cos(x\rho)\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1(x)\rho\cos(x\rho)\\ -\beta_1(x)\rho\sin(x\rho)\end{pmatrix}=:\mathcal{B}_0(x)+\mathcal{B}_1(x),\qquad(5.1)$$

with the coefficients β_l, $l=0,1$, satisfying (3.12).

Remark 5.1 *The modified spectral transformation $\mathcal{S}$ is constructed for getting better differentiability with respect to E, so it is not necessarily a unitary one. Comparing with (2.4) for the free Schrödinger operator, $\mathcal{K}(x)$ and $\mathcal{J}(x)$ for $\mathcal{S}$ have a smoothing factor ξ^8 in a small part of spectrum to cover the singularities. Moreover, instead of the classical spectral measures shown in Theorem 3, we use the measures $(\partial\rho)^{-1}dE$ and $\frac{(\partial\rho)^{-1}}{1+\rho^8}dE$ in different parts of spectrum, which has a nice regularity in view of the transversality (3.10) of $\partial\rho$ and hence cover the singularity caused by $\partial\rho$. Moreover, the second measure $\frac{(\partial\rho)^{-1}}{1+\rho^8}dE$ will control the unboundedness of ρ.*

Remark 5.2 *With the purely absolute continuity of the spectrum, we can conclude that the spectral transformation for any non-zero $q\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is supported on a subset of $\sigma(H)$ with positive Lebesgue measure. Hence, in constructing the modified spectral transformation, we can neglect a zero-measure subset of $\sigma(H)$ and just focus on the full-measure subset Σ. This is the necessity of the purely absolute continuity in the proof.*

The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{S}$ is well defined from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)$.

Lemma 5.1 *Given any $q\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}<K\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ for some $K>0$ independent of q.*

Proof: Let $d\tilde{\varphi}:=4\rho^2(\partial\rho)^2d\varphi$, i.e., $d\tilde{\varphi}|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\Sigma}:=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}d\tilde{\varphi}\big|_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} &:= \frac{4}{\pi}\begin{pmatrix}\rho^2\partial\rho\,dE & 0\\ 0 & \rho^2\partial\rho\,dE\end{pmatrix}\\ d\tilde{\varphi}\big|_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} &:= \frac{4}{\pi}\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho^2\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8}dE & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\rho^2\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8}dE\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}$, we can estimate $\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\tilde{\varphi})}$ instead. Indeed, since $(2\rho)^{-1} < \partial\rho < \infty$ on Σ, we have $\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} < \|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\tilde{\varphi})}$.

Given any $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\tilde{\varphi})} \le \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_0^{(1)}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_0^{(2)}(x)dx \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\tilde{\varphi})} + \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_1^{(2)}(x)dx \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\tilde{\varphi})}.$$

By a direct computation with the formulation in (5.1), we have, for $l = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_l^{(1)}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_l^{(2)}(x)dx \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\tilde{\varphi})} \\
= \;& \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \left(\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_l^{(1)}(x)dx \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_l^{(2)}(x)dx \right|^2 \right) \rho^2 \partial\rho\, dE \\
& + \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \left(\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_l^{(1)}(x)dx \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_l^{(2)}(x)dx \right|^2 \right) \frac{\rho^2\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8} dE \\
= \;& \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y) \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\rho^{2l+2}\cos(x-y)\rho\cdot\partial\rho\, dE\, dx\, dy \qquad (5.2) \\
& + \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y) \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \frac{\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\rho^{2l+2}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(x-y)\rho\cdot\partial\rho\, dE\, dx\, dy, \qquad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

by noting that $\cos(x\rho)\cos(y\rho) + \sin(x\rho)\sin(y\rho) = \cos(x-y)\rho$.

According to the integration on different regions of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we decompose the integral in (5.2) and (5.3) into $\mathcal{T}_{l,1} + \mathcal{T}_{l,2}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{l,1} + \mathcal{U}_{l,2}$ respectively, with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{l,1} &:= \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \\ |x-y|\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} q(x)\bar{q}(y) \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\rho^{2l+2}\cos(x-y)\rho\,\partial\rho\, dE\, dx\, dy, \\
\mathcal{T}_{l,2} &:= \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \\ |x-y|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} q(x)\bar{q}(y) \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\rho^{2l+2}\cos(x-y)\rho\,\partial\rho\, dE\, dx\, dy, \\
\mathcal{U}_{l,1} &:= \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \\ |x-y|\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} q(x)\bar{q}(y) \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \frac{\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\rho^{2l+2}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(x-y)\rho\cdot\partial\rho\, dE\, dx\, dy, \\
\mathcal{U}_{l,2} &:= \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \\ |x-y|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} q(x)\bar{q}(y) \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \frac{\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\rho^{2l+2}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(x-y)\rho\cdot\partial\rho\, dE\, dx\, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.12) we have $|\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)| \le \frac{3}{2}$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $l = 0, 1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{T}_{l,1}| &\le \frac{6\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{5\sigma}{4}}}{\pi}\int_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \\ |x-y|\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} |q(x)||\bar{q}(y)|dx\, dy \\
&\le \frac{6\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{5\sigma}{4}}}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|^2\, dx \int_{|z|\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}} dz \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|^2\, dx, \qquad (5.4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_{l,1}| \le \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \\ |x-y|\le \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} |q(x)||\bar{q}(y)|dx\,dy \cdot \int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho}{1+\rho^8} \le \varepsilon_0^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|^2\,dx \tag{5.5}$$

As for $\mathcal{T}_{l,2}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{l,2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{l,2} &= \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)dx \int_{|z|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}} \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)\rho^{2l+2}\cos(z\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dz, \\
\mathcal{U}_{l,2} &= \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)dx \int_{|z|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}} \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \frac{\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)\rho^{2l+2}\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dz.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 4.4, there is a constant $K' = K'_{\varepsilon_0} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)\rho^{2l+2}\cos(z\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE\right| &\le \frac{K'}{|z|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}, \\
\left|\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)\rho^{2l+2}\cos(z\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE\right| &\le \frac{K'}{|z|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $l = 0, 1$

$$|\mathcal{T}_{l,2}|,\ |\mathcal{U}_{l,2}| \le \frac{4K'}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)||\bar{q}(x+z)|dx \int_{|z|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}} \frac{dz}{|z|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}} \le \frac{8K'\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{120}}}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|^2 dx.$$

Combining with (5.4) and (5.5), we finish the proof. ∎

The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{S}$ is injective.

Lemma 5.2 *Given any $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} > 0$.*

Proof: Let $d\hat{\varphi} := (\partial\rho)^2 d\varphi$, i.e., $d\tilde{\varphi}|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\Sigma} := 0$,

$$d\hat{\varphi}\big|_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} := \frac{1}{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} \partial\rho\,dE & 0 \\ 0 & \partial\rho\,dE \end{pmatrix},\quad d\hat{\varphi}\big|_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} := \frac{1}{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8}dE & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8}dE \end{pmatrix},$$

Given any $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\left|\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})} - \left\|\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_0^{(1)}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_0^{(2)}(x)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})}\right| \le \left\|\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_1^{(2)}(x)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})}. \tag{5.6}$$

By a direct computation, we get, for $l = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_l^{(1)}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_l^{(2)}(x)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\cdot\rho^{2l}\cos(x-y)\rho\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dy \qquad (5.7) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \frac{\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)\cdot\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(x-y)\rho\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dy. \qquad (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

For $l=0,1$, we decompose the integrals in (5.7) and (5.8) into $\mathcal{V}_{l,1}+\mathcal{V}_{l,2}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{l,1}+\mathcal{W}_{l,2}$ respectively, with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{l,1} &:= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}\cos(x-y)\rho\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dy\\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}\cos(z\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dz,\\
\mathcal{V}_{l,2} &:= \frac{\delta_{l,0}}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\rho^{2l}\cos(x-y)\rho\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dy\\
&= \frac{\delta_{l,0}}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_0^{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}\rho^{2l}\cos(z\rho)\,d\rho\,dx\,dz,\\
\mathcal{W}_{l,1} &:= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(x-y)\rho\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dy\\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(z\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dz,\\
\mathcal{W}_{l,2} &:= \frac{\delta_{l,0}}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(y)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(x-y)\rho\,\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dy\\
&= \frac{\delta_{l,0}}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^{\infty}\frac{\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(z\rho)\,d\rho\,dx\,dz.
\end{aligned}$$

In $\mathcal{V}_{l,1}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{l,1}$, $l=0,1$, according to the integration on different regions of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we decompose them respectively as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{l,1} &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{R}\\ |z|\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}\cos(z\rho)\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dz\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{R}\\ |z|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}\cos(z\rho)\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dz,\\
\mathcal{W}_{l,1} &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{R}\\ |z|\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(z\rho)\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dz\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{R}\\ |z|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(z\rho)\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dz.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.12) we have, for any $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$|\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)-\delta_{l,0}|_{\Sigma_0}\le 2\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}};\quad |\beta_l(x)\beta_l(y)|_{\Sigma_{j+1}}\le\varepsilon_j^{2\sigma},\ \ j\ge 0.$$

So, in the region $\{x\in\mathbb{R},|z|\le\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}\}$, we estimate the integrals with respect to E as

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\left|\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\le\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}\cos(z\rho)\partial\rho\,dE\right|$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j\geq 0}\int_{\Sigma_j\cap\left\{\rho\leq\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} |\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0}|\rho^{2l}\partial\rho\,dE\\
&\leq 2\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_{\Sigma_0\cap\left\{\rho\leq\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\rho^{2l}\partial\rho\,dE+\sum_{j\geq 0}(1+\varepsilon_j^{2\sigma})\int_{\Sigma_{j+1}\cap\left\{\rho\leq\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\rho^{2l}\partial\rho\,dE\\
&\leq 6\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3\sigma}{4}}+3\sum_{j\geq 0}(1+\varepsilon_j^{2\sigma})\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}|\ln\varepsilon_0|^{(j+1)^3d}\varepsilon_j^{\sigma}\\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{3\sigma}{8}},\\
&\quad \frac{1}{\pi}\left|\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\cos(z\rho)\partial\rho\,dE\,dx\,dz\right|\\
&\leq 2\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_{\Sigma_0\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{\rho^{2l}\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8}dE+\sum_{j\geq 0}(1+\varepsilon_j^{2\sigma})\int_{\Sigma_{j+1}\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{\rho^{2l}\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8}dE\\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{3\sigma}{8}},
\end{aligned}
$$

recalling the measure of Σ_j estimated in (3.2). In the region $\{x\in\mathbb{R},|z|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}\}$, by applying Lemma 4.4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho\leq\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\,\rho^{2l}\cos(z\rho)\,\partial\rho\,dE\right| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}}{|z|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}},\\
\left|\int_{\substack{[\inf\sigma(H),\infty)\\ \rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}}\frac{(\beta_l(x)\beta_l(x+z)-\delta_{l,0})\,\rho^{2l}\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,\partial\rho\,dE\right| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}}{|z|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{V}_{l,1}|,\,|\mathcal{W}_{l,1}| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)||\bar{q}(x+z)|dx\int_{|z|>\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}\frac{dz}{|z|^{1+\frac{\sigma}{15}}}\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{3\sigma}{8}}\int_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{R}\\ |z|\leq\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{8}}}}|q(x)||\bar{q}(x+z)|dxdz\\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx. \qquad (5.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{V}_{1,2}=\mathcal{W}_{1,2}=0$. So we can deduce that

$$
\left\|\begin{pmatrix}\int_{\mathbb{R}}q(x)\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}(x)dx\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}}q(x)\mathcal{B}_1^{(2)}(x)dx\end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})}=\mathcal{V}_{1,1}+\mathcal{W}_{1,1}+\mathcal{V}_{1,2}+\mathcal{W}_{1,2}\leq\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx. \quad (5.10)
$$

We still need to consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{0,2} &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_0^{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}\cos(z\rho)\,d\rho\,dx\,dz,\\
\mathcal{W}_{0,2} &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^{\infty}\frac{\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,d\rho\,dx\,dz.
\end{aligned}
$$

We have known that $\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{q}(x+z)\int_0^\infty \cos(z\rho)\,d\rho\,dz = \bar{q}(x)$, then

$$\mathcal{V}_{0,2}+\mathcal{W}_{0,2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \frac{\rho^8\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}d\rho\,dx\,dz. \tag{5.11}$$

We are going to show that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \frac{\rho^8\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}d\rho\,dx\,dz\right| \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{5}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx. \tag{5.12}$$

For the integral $\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\bar{q}(x+z)\int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^8\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}d\rho\,dz$, we can see the convergence by Abel's test. So, we can take $R > \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}$ large enough such that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\left|\int_{z>R}[\bar{q}(x+z)+\bar{q}(x-z)]\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \frac{\rho^8\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}\,d\rho\,dz\right| \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{3}}|q(x)|.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\left|\int_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{R}\\|z|>R}} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)dx\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \frac{\rho^8\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}d\rho\,dz\right| \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{4}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx. \tag{5.13}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\pi}\left|\int_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{R}\\|z|\le R}} q(x)\bar{q}(x+z)\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \frac{\rho^8\cos(z\rho)}{1+\rho^8}d\rho\,dx\,dz\right| \\
\le\ & \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx\cdot\left|\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty\int_{-R}^R \cos(z\rho)dz\,\frac{\rho^8}{1+\rho^8}d\rho\right| \\
\le\ & \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx\left|\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \frac{\sin(R\rho)}{\rho}\cdot\frac{\rho^8}{1+\rho^8}d\rho\right| \\
\le\ & \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx\left|\frac{\rho^7\cos(R\rho)}{R(1+\rho^8)}\right|_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty - \frac{1}{R}\int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}}}^\infty \cos(R\rho)\frac{\rho^{14}-7\rho^6}{(1+\rho^8)^2}d\rho\right| \\
\le\ & \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{5}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

So (5.12) is shown by combining (5.13) and (5.14). Hence,

$$\left|\left\|\begin{pmatrix}\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_0^{(1)}(x)dx\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{B}_0^{(2)}(x)dx\end{pmatrix}\right\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})} - \int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx\right| \le \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx. \tag{5.15}$$

In view of (5.6), (5.10) and (5.15), for $q\in L^2(\mathbb{R})\setminus\{0\}$, we have

$$\left|\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})} - \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right| \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{5.16}$$

Note that the measures $(\partial\rho)^{-1}dE$ and $\frac{(\partial\rho)^{-1}}{1+\rho^8}dE$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\partial\rho\,dE$ and $\frac{\rho^2\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8}dE$ respectively, and $\partial\rho$ is positive almost everywhere on Σ. We can see that $\|\mathcal{S}q\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} > 0$ if $q\in L^2(\mathbb{R})\setminus\{0\}$. So we get the conclusion of lemma. ∎

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{K}(x)$ and $\mathcal{J}(x)$ are differentiable in the sense of Whitney on each Σ_j and, by a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} \partial\mathcal{B}_0^{(1)}(x) \\ \partial\mathcal{B}_0^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} (\partial\beta_0)(x)\sin(x\rho) \\ (\partial\beta_0)(x)\cos(x\rho) \end{pmatrix} + \partial\rho \begin{pmatrix} x\beta_0(x)\cos(x\rho) \\ -x\beta_0(x)\sin(x\rho) \end{pmatrix}, \\
\begin{pmatrix} \partial\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}(x) \\ \partial\mathcal{B}_1^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix} &= \rho \begin{pmatrix} (\partial\beta_1)(x)\cos(x\rho) \\ -(\partial\beta_1)(x)\sin(x\rho) \end{pmatrix} + \partial\rho \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1(x)\cos(x\rho) \\ -\beta_1(x)\sin(x\rho) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \rho\,\partial\rho \begin{pmatrix} x\beta_1(x)\sin(x\rho) \\ x\beta_1(x)\cos(x\rho) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\partial\beta_l(x)$, $l = 0, 1$, is the derivative in the sense of Whitney on Σ_j. Since $\{\Sigma_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ are mutually disjoint, $\partial\beta_l(x)$ and hence $\partial\mathcal{K}(x)$, $\partial\mathcal{J}(x)$ are well defined on Σ.

Lemma 5.3 *For any $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\|q\|_D < \infty$, we have*

$$\left| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\partial\mathcal{K}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\partial\mathcal{J}(x)dx \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} - \|q\|_D \right| \leq \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{20}} \|q\|_D + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{4}} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{5.17}$$

Proof: Recall that for $j \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\partial(\beta_l(x) - \delta_{l,0})|_{\mathcal{C}_W^\nu(\Sigma_j)} \leq \varepsilon_0^\sigma$ for $l = 0, 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \rho^l \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)(\partial\beta_l)(x)\cos(x\rho)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)(\partial\beta_l)(x)\sin(x\rho)dx \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} \\
\leq\ & \frac{\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |q(x)||\bar{q}(y)| \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\leq\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \rho^{2l}\,(\partial\rho)^{-1}\,dE\,dx\,dy \\
&+ \frac{\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |q(x)||\bar{q}(y)| \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} \frac{\rho^{2l}}{1+\rho^8}\,(\partial\rho)^{-1}\,dE\,dx\,dy \\
\leq\ & \frac{4\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |q(x)||\bar{q}(y)| \int_0^{\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}} \rho^{2l+2}\,d\rho\,dx\,dy + \frac{4\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |q(x)||\bar{q}(y)| \int_{\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{2l+2}}{1+\rho^8}\,d\rho\,dx\,dy \\
\leq\ & \varepsilon_0^{\frac{15\sigma}{16}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |q(x)||\bar{q}(y)|\,dx\,dy \\
\leq\ & \varepsilon_0^{\frac{15\sigma}{16}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dx}{1+x^2} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (x^2+1)|q(x)|^2\,dx \right) \\
\leq\ & \varepsilon_0^{\frac{7\sigma}{8}} \left(\|q\|_D^2 + \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \partial\rho \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\beta_1(x)\cos(x\rho)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\beta_1(x)\sin(x\rho)dx \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\tilde{\varphi})} \\
\leq\ & \frac{\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |q(x)||\bar{q}(y)| \int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho\leq\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}} (\partial\rho)\,dE\,dx\,dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{\varepsilon_0^{2\sigma}}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|q(x)||\bar{q}(y)|\int_{\Sigma\cap\left\{\rho>\varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}\right\}}\frac{\partial\rho}{1+\rho^8}\,dE\,dx\,dy\\
\leq\;& \varepsilon_0^{\frac{7\sigma}{8}}\left(\|q\|_D^2+\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2\right). \qquad (5.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the above bounds still hold if we change the sign of β_l or exchange the positions of $\cos(x\rho)$ and $\sin(x\rho)$.

Now, for $\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\partial\mathcal{K}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\partial\mathcal{J}(x)dx \end{pmatrix}$, it remains the terms

$$
\partial\rho\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_0(x)\cos(x\rho)dx \\ -\int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_0(x)\sin(x\rho)dx \end{pmatrix} \quad\text{and}\quad \rho\,\partial\rho\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_1(x)\sin(x\rho)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_1(x)\cos(x\rho)dx \end{pmatrix}.
$$

It is direct to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial\rho\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_0(x)\cos(x\rho)dx \\ -\int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_0(x)\sin(x\rho)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} &= \left\|\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}q)(x)\mathcal{B}_0^{(1)}(x)dx \\ -\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}q)(x)\mathcal{B}_0^{(1)}(x)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})},\\
\left\|\rho\,\partial\rho\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_1(x)\sin(x\rho)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_1(x)\cos(x\rho)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} &= \left\|\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}q)(x)\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}(x)dx \\ -\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}q)(x)\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)}(x)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\hat{\varphi})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{X}$ is the multiplication operator $q(x)\mapsto xq(x)$ on the subspace of $\{q\in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2|q(x)|^2\,dx<\infty\}$. In view of (5.10) and (5.15), then we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\|\partial\rho\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_0(x)\cos(x\rho)dx \\ -\int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_0(x)\sin(x\rho)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx\right| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx,\\
\left\|\rho\,\partial\rho\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_1(x)\sin(x\rho)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} xq(x)\beta_1(x)\cos(x\rho)dx \end{pmatrix}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} &\leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with (5.18) and (5.19), we can get (5.17). ∎

The following lemma shows that $\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\partial\mathcal{K}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\partial\mathcal{J}(x)dx \end{pmatrix}$ converges to the derivative of the modified spectral transformation under some suitable conditions.

Lemma 5.4 *For any $q\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with*

(a1) $\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{K}(x)\,dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{J}(x)\,dx \end{pmatrix}$ *convergent to* $F=\begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix}$ *uniformly in* E,

(a2) $\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\partial\mathcal{K}(x)\,dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\partial\mathcal{J}(x)\,dx \end{pmatrix}$ *convergent to* $\tilde{H}=\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}_1 \\ \tilde{H}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ *in the sense of* $\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)$,

if F is $\mathcal{C}_W^1$ on each Σ_j, then $\partial F=\tilde{H}$ a.e. on Σ.

Proof: For given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l = 0, 1$, let β_l^j be the extension of β_l, $\mathcal{C}^1$ on $[\inf \sigma(H), \infty)$, with $\beta_l^j|_{\Sigma_j} = \beta_l$, and let

$$\mathcal{K}^j(x) := \beta_0^j(x)\sin(x\rho) - \beta_1^j(x)\rho\cos(x\rho), \quad \mathcal{J}^j(x) := \beta_0^j(x)\cos(x\rho) - \beta_1^j(x)\rho\sin(x\rho).$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{K}^j(x)$ is absolutely continuous on $[\inf \sigma(H), \infty)$, so for any compactly supported $\mathcal{C}^1$ function ϕ on $[\inf \sigma(H), \infty)$, by the integration by parts,

$$\int_{\Sigma_j} \partial\mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \phi \, dE = \mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \phi|_{\Sigma_j} - \int_{\Sigma_j} \mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \partial\phi \, dE.$$

Here Σ_j is a Borel set contained in $\sigma(H)$. It can be written as

$$\Sigma_j = [\inf \sigma(H), \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{l \geq 0} I_l,$$

with $\{I_l\}_{l\geq 0}$ a sequence of intervals, mutually disjoint, and $\mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \phi|_{\Sigma_j}$ is interpreted as

$$\mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \phi|_{\Sigma_j} = \mathcal{K}^j(x) \cdot \phi\Big|_{[\inf \sigma(H), \infty)} - \sum_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{K}^j(x) \cdot \phi\Big|_{I_l}.$$

Since β_l^j, ϕ and ρ are all absolutely continuous on $[\inf \sigma(H), \infty)$, we can see the absolute convergence of $\sum_{l\geq 0} \mathcal{K}^j(x) \cdot \phi|_{I_l}$. Hence, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)(\mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \phi|_{\Sigma_j})dx = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)\mathcal{K}(x)dx\right) \cdot \phi\Big|_{\Sigma_j} = F_1 \cdot \phi|_{\Sigma_j}.$$

On the other hand, for each Σ_j, we have, by (a2),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Sigma_j} |\int_{|x|\leq N} q(x)\partial\mathcal{K}(x)\,dx - \tilde{H}_1| \cdot |\phi| dE \\
\leq\ & \left(\int_{\substack{\Sigma_j \\ \rho > \varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}}} |\int_{|x|\leq N} q(x)\partial\mathcal{K}(x)dx - \tilde{H}_1|^2 \frac{(\partial\rho)^{-1}dE}{1+\rho^8}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\substack{\Sigma_j \\ \rho > \varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}}} |\phi|^2(1+\rho^8)\partial\rho dE\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ \left(\int_{\substack{\Sigma_j \\ \rho \leq \varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}}} |\int_{|x|\leq N} q(x)\partial\mathcal{K}(x)dx - \tilde{H}_1|^2 (\partial\rho)^{-1}\, dE\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\substack{\Sigma_j \\ \rho \leq \varepsilon_0^{-\sigma/4}}} |\phi|^2 \partial\rho \, dE\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which goes to 0 as $N \to \infty$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_j} \partial F_1 \cdot \phi \, dE &= F_1 \cdot \phi|_{\Sigma_j} - \int_{\Sigma_j} F_1 \cdot \partial\phi \, dE \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)(\mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \phi|_{\Sigma_j})dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x) \int_{\Sigma_j} \mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \partial\phi \, dE \, dx, \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x) \int_{\Sigma_j} \partial\mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \phi \, dE \, dx \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\Sigma_j} \int_{|x|\leq N} q(x)\partial\mathcal{K}(x) \cdot \phi \, dE \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Sigma_j} \tilde{H}_1 \cdot \phi \, dE.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\partial F_1 = \tilde{H}_1$ a.e. on each Σ_j, hence a.e. on Σ. Similarly, $\partial F_2 = \tilde{H}_2$ a.e. on Σ. ∎

6 Linear growth of the diffusion norm

Now, let $q(t)$ be the solution to the dynamical equation $\mathrm{i}\dot{q} = Hq$, with $q(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let $G(E,t) := (\mathcal{S}q)(E,t)$. Since, for any $E \in \Sigma$,

$$\frac{1}{\delta}(G(E,t+\delta) - G(E,t)) = \frac{1}{\delta}\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}}[q(x,t+\delta)-q(x,t)]\mathcal{K}(x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}}[q(x,t+\delta)-q(x,t)]\mathcal{J}(x)dx \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \delta > 0,$$

we can verify the differentiability of $G(E,t)$ with respect to t. Then, for $E \in \Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{i}\partial_t G(E,t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(Hq)(x,t)\mathcal{K}(E,x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}}(Hq)(x,t)\mathcal{J}(E,x)dx \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x,t)[-\partial_x^2\mathcal{K}(E,x) + V(\omega x)\mathcal{K}(E,x)]dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x,t)[-\partial_x^2\mathcal{J}(E,x) + V(\omega x)\mathcal{J}(E,x)]dx \end{pmatrix} \\ &= EG(E,t). \end{aligned}$$

so $G(E,t) = e^{-\mathrm{i}Et}G(E,0)$.

Corollary 1 *For any solution $q(t)$ to the equation $\mathrm{i}\dot{q} = Hq$, with $q(0)$ compactly supported, we have, for a.e. $E \in \Sigma$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x,t)\partial\mathcal{K}(E,x)dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x,t)\partial\mathcal{J}(E,x)dx \end{pmatrix} = -\mathrm{i}t \cdot e^{-\mathrm{i}Et}G(E,0) + e^{-\mathrm{i}Et}\partial G(E,0). \tag{6.1}$$

Proof: Since $q(0)$ is supported on a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$, saying $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have that $\partial G(E,0)$ is well defined on each Σ_j, with

$$\partial G(E,0) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\Lambda} q(x,0)\partial\mathcal{K}(x,E)dx \\ \int_{\Lambda} q(x,0)\partial\mathcal{J}(x,E)dx \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $G(E,t) = e^{-\mathrm{i}Et}G(E,0)$ is differentiable in the sense of Whitney on each Σ_j, with

$$\partial G(E,t) = -\mathrm{i}t \cdot e^{-\mathrm{i}Et}G(E,0) + e^{-\mathrm{i}Et}\partial G(E,0).$$

For any finite t, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2|q(x,t)|^2dx < \infty$, which implies $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x,t)|dx < \infty$. Then, recalling the expression of $\mathcal{K}(x)$ and $\mathcal{J}(x)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x,t)\mathcal{K}(x)|dx,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x,t)\mathcal{J}(x)|dx \le (2+\varepsilon_0^{\sigma}\rho)\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x,t)|dx,$$

and by Lemma 5.3, for $N > 0$ sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \int_{|x|>N} q(x,t)\partial\mathcal{K}(x)dx \\ \int_{|x|>N} q(x,t)\partial\mathcal{J}(x)dx \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} &\le \frac{3}{2}\left(\int_{|x|>N} x^2|q(x,t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{4}}\left(\int_{|x|>N}|q(x,t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

So the assumptions (a1) and (a2) of Lemma 5.4 are verified. Applying Lemma 5.4, the proof of (6.1) is finished. ∎

Given any solution $q(t)$ to $\mathrm{i}\dot{q} = Hq$ with initial datum $q(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|q(0)\|_D < \infty$. Let $\{q^N(0)\}_{N\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$ be the sequence of functions satisfying that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|q^N(0) - q(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \|q^N(0) - q(0)\|_D = 0,$$

with each $q^N(0)$ compactly supported on $[-N, N]$ satisfying $\|q^N(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \|q(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. By Lemma 5.1,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|\mathcal{S}q^N(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} = \|\mathcal{S}q(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}.$$

Let $q^N(t)$ be the solution satisfying $\mathrm{i}\dot{q}^N = Hq^N$ with initial datum $q^N(0)$, and $G_N(E,t) = (\mathcal{S}q^N)(E,t)$.

In view of Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 1, we can see,

$$\left| t\|G_N(E,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} - \|q^N(t)\|_D \right| \le \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{20}} \|q^N(t)\|_D + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{4}} \|q^N(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial G_N(E,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{\|G_N(E,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} - t^{-1}\mathcal{G}_N(t)}{1+\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{20}}} \le t^{-1}\|q^N(t)\|_D \le \frac{\|G_N(E,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} + t^{-1}\mathcal{G}_N(t)}{1-\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{20}}}$$

with $\mathcal{G}_N(t) := \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{4}} \|q^N(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial G_N(E,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}$. By Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 5.4, combining with the L^2-conservation, we can see

$$\mathcal{G}_N(t) \le 2\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{4}} \|q^N(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|q^N(0)\|_D \le 2\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma}{4}} \|q(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|q(0)\|_D.$$

So, for t large enough(independent of N), $t^{-1}\mathcal{G}_N(t)$ goes to zero, and

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{S}q^N(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}}{1+\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{24}}} \le t^{-1}\|q^N(t)\|_D \le \frac{\|\mathcal{S}q^N(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}}{1-\varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{24}}}. \tag{6.2}$$

By the ballistic upper bound (1.2), we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} t^{-1}\|q^N(t) - q(t)\|_D \le c \lim_{N\to\infty} \left(\|q^N(0) - q(0)\|_D + \|q^N(0) - q(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \right) = 0.$$

Combining with the fact that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \|\mathcal{S}q^N(0) - \mathcal{S}q(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)} = 0$, we can pass (6.2) to $N \to \infty$. Then Theorem 1 is proven with

$$C = \|\mathcal{S}q(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}, \quad \zeta = \frac{\sigma^2}{24}.$$

A Fourier transform and ballistic motion for the Laplacian

Given $q = q(\xi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, the Fourier transform is given by

$$q(\xi) \mapsto \hat{q}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\xi x} q(\xi)\, d\xi.$$

If $q \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\hat{q'}(x) = 2\pi \mathrm{i} x\, \hat{q}(x)$.

We consider the time dependent equation $\mathrm{i}\partial_t \hat{q}(x,t) = -\partial_x^2 \hat{q}(x,t)$. By the Fourier transform, it is transformed into the linear equation $\mathrm{i}\partial_t q(\xi,t) = 4\pi^2\xi^2 q(\xi,t)$. We can easily get the solution $q(\xi,t) = e^{-4\mathrm{i}\pi^2\xi^2 t} q(\xi,0)$, which implies

$$\partial_\xi q(\xi,t) = -8\mathrm{i}\pi^2 \xi t e^{-4\mathrm{i}\pi^2\xi^2 t} q(\xi,0) + e^{-4\mathrm{i}\pi^2\xi^2 t} \partial_\xi q(\xi,0). \tag{A.1}$$

On the other hand, by Parseval's identity, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\xi q(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi = 4\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 |\hat{q}(x,t)|^2 dx. \tag{A.2}$$

We can conclude the linear growth of $\|\hat{q}(t)\|_D$ by combining (A.1) and (A.2).

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank H. Eliasson and C. Chavaudret for many fruitful discussions about this work. He would also thank L. Stolovitch for supporting this work. The author would also thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References

[1] Asch, J., Knauf, A.: Motion in periodic potentials. Nonlinearity **11**, 175–200(1998).

[2] Coddington, E. A., Levinson, N.: *Theory of ordinary differential equations*. McGraw-Hill, New York-Toronto-London(1955).

[3] Cycon, H. L., Froese, R. G., Kirsch, W., Simon, B.: *Schrödinger operators, with application to quantum mechanics and global geometry*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Berlin etc. Springer-Verlag(1987).

[4] Damanik, D., Lukic, M., Yessen, W.; Quantum dynamics of periodic and limit-periodic Jacobi and block Jacobi matrices with applications to some quantum many body problems. Commun. Math. Phys. **337(3)**, 1535–1561(2015).

[5] Damanik, D., Lenz, D., Stolz, G.: Lower transport bounds for one-dimensional continuum Schrödinger operators. Math. Ann. **336**, 361–389(2006).

[6] Deift, P., Simon, B.: Almost periodic Schrödinger operators. *III*. The absolutely continuous spectrum in one dimension. Commun. Math. Phys. **90**, 389–411(1983).

[7] Dinaburg, E. I., Sinai, Y. G.: The one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with quasi-periodic potential. Funkt. Anal. Prilož. **9**, 8–21(1975).

[8] Eliasson, L. H.: Floquet solutions for the 1−dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation. Commun. Math. Phys. **146**, 447–482(1992).

[9] Fillman J.: Ballistic Transport for Limit-Periodic Jacobi Matrices with Applications to Quantum Many-Body Problems. arXiv:1603.01173.

[10] Hadj Amor, S.: Hölder continuity of the rotation number for quasi-periodic cocycles in $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. Commun. Math. Phys. **287(2)**, 565–588(2009).

[11] Hadj Amor, S.: Regularity of the Rotation Number for the One-Dimensional Time-Continuous Schrödinger Equation. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **15**, 331–342(2012).

[12] Johnson, R., Moser, J.: The rotation number for almost periodic potentials. Commun. Math. Phys. **84**, 403–438(1982).

[13] Kachkovskiy, I.: On transport properties of isotropic quasiperiodic XY spin chains. Commun. Math. Phys. (2015).

[14] Karpeshina, Y., Lee, Y.-R., Shterenberg, R., Stolz, G.: Ballistic transport for the Schrödinger operator with limit-periodic or quasi-periodic potential in dimension two. arXiv:1507.06523.

[15] Last, Y.: Quantum dynamics and decompositions of singular continuous spectra, J. Funct. Anal., **142**, 406–445(1996).

[16] Lieb, E. H., Robinson, D. W.: The finite group velocity of quantum spin systems. Commun. Math. Phys. **28**, 251–257(1972).

[17] Moser, J., Pöschel, J.: An extension of a result by Dinaburg and Sinai on quasiperiodic potentials. Commun. Math. Helv. **59(1)**, 39–85(1984).

[18] Pöschel, J.: Integrability of Hamiltonian Systems on Cantor Sets. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35(5)**, 653–696(1982).

[19] Radin, C., Simon B.: Invariant domains for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. J. Diff. Equa. **29**, 289–296(1978).

[20] Whitney, H.: Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets, Trans. A.M.S. **36**, 63–89(1934).

[21] Zhang, Z., Zhao, Z.: Ballistic transport and absolute continuity of one-frequency Schrödinger operators. arXiv:1512.02195.

[22] Zhao, Z.: Ballistic motion in one-dimensional quasi-periodic discrete Schrödinger equation. Commun. Math. Phys. **347**, 511–549(2016).